

1	Board Members Present:
2	ADAM JOHNSON, Chairman
3	MARC ABELMAN, Member
4	NORA LUNA, Member
5	ELISSA WAHL, Member (By phone)
6	KATHLEEN CONABOY, Member (Carson City)
7	MELISSA MACKEDON, Member (Carson City).
8	
9	
10	Others Present:
11	ROBERT WHITNEY, Deputy Attorney General (For Board)
12	GREGG OTT, Deputy Attorney General (For Staff)
13	PATRICK GAVIN, Director
14	BRIAN SCROGGINS, Deputy Director
15	
16	* * * * * * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting
1	Friday, June 24, 2016; Las Vegas, Nevada
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	* * * * * * * * *
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. We are ready
5	to go. And I will call the meeting to order at 9:07
6	a.m. We'll begin with roll call. Member Abelman?
7	MEMBER ABELMAN: Present.
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Wahl? Member
9	Wahl, can you hear us?
10	MEMBER WAHL: I'm here.
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Conaboy?
12	MEMBER CONABOY: Present.
13	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Luna?
14	MEMBER LUNA: Present.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Vice Chair Mackedon?
16	MEMBER MACKEDON: Here.
17	CHAIR JOHNSON: Can we all rise to recite
18	the pledge of allegiance.
19	(Pledge of allegiance)
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. I would like
21	to begin this morning's meeting with some public
22	comment, but actually, first, before we do that, I
23	would be inclined to take a motion for a flexible
24	agenda.
25	MEMBER ABELMAN: So moved.

	Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Second?
2	MEMBER CONABOY: I second.
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All in favor of a
4	flexible agenda?
5	ALL: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So moved. So let's begin
7	with our public comment, and I will call three at a
8	time. Please remember that we are going to be
9	limited to three minutes. And you will get a
10	notification over the loud speaker when the three
11	minutes has ended. First three, Trudy Killman, Betsy
12	Johnson and Jeana Monlux.
13	TRUDY KILLMAN: Good morning. My name is
14	Trudy Killman. I sat before you on February 26th
15	when we were pleading for you to allow our campus to
16	remain open with the stipulations that the special
17	use permit was going to be granted, and that a
18	negotiation was going to be able to be reached with
19	our landlord at the Roberson campus.
20	At that meeting it was also discussed about
21	the lack of communication we had had with the
22	receiver. I am sitting before you today as a very
23	upset parent. I have now a senior who is still
24	struggling with the decision as to where he's going
25	to school because our campus was closed. Our campus
L	Dana Internetional IIC

1	was not given the 90 days' notice as was discussed in
2	that meeting on February 26th. There was a blast
3	e-mail sent out to us on the 14th of June, which was
4	eight days after our school had already closed.

5 If you go onto the Quest Academy website, 6 you will see that we are still accepting enrollment 7 for 8th through 12th for the upcoming school year. 8 You will also see that the notice was posted that as 9 of June 6th, no decision had been reached, and none 10 of the parents or students have been notified of the 11 closure.

12 At this point, the voucher program will be 13 available for the next six days to those parents who 14 are interested in moving their child to a private 15 However, most of the enrollment at the other school. 16 charter schools that are available in our part of the 17 county have long passed. So we are struggling with 18 where we put the majority of these students who have 19 IEP's.

I want to stress to you that Mr. Kern has been unavailable to all of us. I'd like to question why it is someone from Washington D.C. was appointed to look over and restructure this campus, but yet has been completely unavailable for parents. He's been out of touch not only with us, but also the news

1	reporter who started covering this story back in
2	February.
3	Our school was shut down. Our children were
4	disposed of like trash. There was a meeting held on
5	Wednesday as a formality so that he could sit before
6	you today to remove the 9 through 12 from the
7	charter. That meeting was posted on the campus
8	doors. It was not sent out through a blast e-mail
9	for all parents, and there were only a handful of us
10	that ended up showing up.
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Three minutes. Thank you
12	so much Ms. Killman.
13	BETSY JOHNSON: My name is Betsy Johnson.
14	I'm a parent of three children at Quest Academy.
15	Sadly the situation has continued to spiral out of
16	control with the help of this board and Mr. Kern, who
17	this board appointed by a process that I'm not even
18	certain is legal. There was not selection process or
19	application, no formal proceeding, anything. A few
20	phone calls, and he was here on the payroll of Quest
21	without so much as an introduction.
22	He has a Quest-paid attorney for himself,
23	not Quest. Quest can't afford one. My question
24	comes in, when a receiver fails to communicate with
25	the families or the teachers of this campuses, and

1	he, in fact, lives on the East Coast. You instructed
2	him in February to be transparent and keep the
3	families informed of his progress. He had one
4	meeting on May 20th to tell us that everything was
5	still uncertain, but that he would know more by
6	May 23rd. Still nothing. On June 16th, he announced
7	the closure via email, which falls after the 90-day
8	notice which had expired a week after school had
9	gotten out. Even two days ago when he held a
10	required meeting to address the closure as Trudy
11	stated, there were violations because there was no
12	good faith attempt to notify the parents. They have
13	a Facebook page. They have a website. And it wasn't
14	posted on any of those places either.
15	This is approaching negligence. They
16	include a plan, and their new plan is to enroll more
17	students. How can you with any sense of decency and
18	ethics potentially be enrolling children and families

¹⁹ into a campus that has nothing but uncertainty in

20

21 clients the state of affairs of the school? No, they 22 don't.

their future. Do they plan to tell these potential

At this point my ethical line has been crossed. You have no integrity or moral code. The only things that are certain as of today are that the

Bridger campuses was just for sale. Quest no longer
has a high school. None of the remaining campuses
are purchased or have any existing leads, weeks out
of the new school year. Mr. Kern does not respond
when contacted by any means, and is not acting in any
way like a governing board. It's more like a
dictatorship. He does not take or incorporate any
suggestions. He does not do what he has promised,
and does nothing. Kern speaks to Patrick, as he
calls him, on a regular basis. Not the board.
This Mr. Gavin was a driving force in trying
to shut this school down from the start. I find this
to be a conflict of interest. The new school has a
website, and they're talking about their Centennial
location months ago, which in turn turns out was
actually the Roberson campus. The site admin was
hired in March. The special use permit that he will
speak about today is not in question at this point,
and he knows that. Every condition has been met.
I urge this board to overhaul this process
that was supposed to help us, find out and hold
accountable those that were allowed a receiver to be
appointed who could not be feasibly be available
to us on a regular basis. I would love to see an
expense report on how much Quest has spent on him.

1	They were in financial trouble already. Airfare
2	accommodations and meals could not have been cheap.
3	That's my statement.
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you so much.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have Jeana Monlux's
6	statement. Charter member chart Authority
7	members, I am writing this letter due to not being
8	able to make the meeting on Friday the 24th. I am a
9	parent of three kids at Quest, and I want to share my
10	experience with you because how else would you know
11	the way that we all were treated, parents and
12	children? We have been treated like we don't exist,
13	like we don't have feelings, like we don't matter.
14	We've only been a part of Quest's so-called
15	family for the 2015-2016 school year. This is not a
16	good choice for my family, and things did not go as
17	planned. We had several issues in the first month at
18	schedule with my senior, and with the credits he
19	needed. Apparently, the person that Quest put in
20	charge of schedules, Ms. Mahina, had no idea what she
21	was doing. I later found out that my son had taken
22	classes that he didn't even need, and was never put
23	in the classes that he did. How someone goes several
24	months into a school year without communicating with
25	parents about the future of their children is beyond

1 my comprehension. 2 So what do a few of us parents do? We band 3 together and try to save our children's school so that this so-called family. My family attended the 4 5 charter meeting back in February, and all three of my б children spoke as well as myself. We pleaded our 7 case and thought that we had a chance, when in 8 reality we never had a chance, and it didn't matter 9 what we said, what our children said, or even what 10 you said, the charter Authority said. Someone wanted the school closed down, and 11 12 it was going to happen without a thought on how it 13 affects one single person or Quest. We are not from 14 Las Vegas, and the corruption that I've seen in the 15 short time that we've been here with regards to the 16 school is like no other. I sat there and listened to 17 Patrick Gavin try to find any reason that he could 18 think of to shut down our school, and the whole time 19 he was thinking he's really -- I was thinking, he's 20 really in this position. He's really supposed to be 21 helping schools. This is one of the men that should 22 be on our side to save our schools. Not my call. 23 But should he hold that position if he is 24 not in the best interest of the children? No, he 25 should not. The thing that comes to my mind is that,

1	what is he hiding? What does he know? Who let this
2	small charter school get so out of hand in the first
3	place without being able to turn back? I'm angry and
4	I want answers. I've heard all about the board that
5	was in place and all of the charter people that were
б	in charge. What I haven't heard is who are we
7	holding accountable? Who was doing the checks and
8	balances for this charter school?
0	On more than the number of maniput in

9 So now we have this supposed receiver in 10 place to help us out in this misfortune. Great. 11 That is what is very questionable. While I am sure 12 Mr. Kern is quite capable of his profession, he 13 didn't come in and help Quest. Not the Roberson 14 campus, anyway. We were literally hung out to dry, 15 and whether they be from the Authority board or from 16 political parties, either way, the Roberson campus 17 was never a priority.

Not only do we feel that Mr. Galvin and
Mr. Kern, but we also felt from the other Quest
locations almost as if they knew already that we
didn't.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Three minutes. Thank you
 so much. Our next three will be Tara McAdorey, Linda
 Sidler and then Kate Barber.

25 TARA McADOREY: Good afternoon. I have

1	spoken to you all many times regarding my family. I
2	have a 10th grader, a 9th grader, and a 2nd grader at
3	Quest Academy. As you may remember, I've always been
4	here in a positive way. Roberson Campus of Quest
5	Academy has surely changed his life (inaudible). I'm
б	so thankful for staff, teachers and friends.
7	Today we are not here to ask you to keep our
8	high school campus open. Instead I am asking you to
9	please not let what happened to our school happen to
10	another school under the Authority. In September,
11	your board motioned for your staff to take immediate
12	action to work with our school through the
13	installment of a receiver as soon as possible. At
14	that time we all questioned what a receiver was, what
15	they would do, what it would cost and how it would

16 affect our school.

17 Mr. Gavin stated that if the school was not 18 placed under a receiver, he would recommend we be 19 When we met the receiver back in October, we closed. 20 tried to be positive. If a person would come in, be 21 a part of our family, work with us and help our 22 schools stay open. We were under the impression that 23 the receiver would take over the duties of our board, 24 and things would not change. However, the reality is that our receiver is not able to be part of our 25

1 campuses, is not able to react to questions or 2 Parents repeatedly reach out and do not concerns. 3 get any answer. Following that meeting very little 4 was communicated to the families regarding the status 5 of Quest. We didn't really know how it was going б until the update at the board meeting in February. 7 At that meeting, we're on the agenda to eliminate 8 grade levels in conjunction with the relocation of 9 the school's authorization to occupy the Roberson 10 campus and to the impending non-renewal of the 11 campus's special use permit.

12 As it turned out, "impending" was an 13 interesting choice of words. As you all remember, at 14 that meeting we had extensive discussions about that 15 special use permit. Mr. Kern stated the ability for 16 the Roberson to stay open based on a renewal of that 17 permit and renegotiating the lease. Mr. Gavin was so 18 sure that the special use permit was not going to be 19 renewed and felt it was unfair for you to not let 20 parents know -- or not decide that day to eliminate 21 our high school. One of the board members even asked 22 the question, how do you know the outcome of a 23 meeting that has not yet happened. He said it was 24 based on conversations that he had had. 25 As it turned out, the board decided to give

us a chance, to get the special use permit renewed.
We were so thankful that you believed in us and were
willing to give us a chance to try to keep our school
open. I cannot believe in my heart that your board
was closing any Quest campuses. I think that you
supported the families as much as you could, and I'm
thankful for that.

8 At the end of that meeting, Mr. Kern said he 9 would be more available to us and communicate more 10 He promised you that he would. He sent a often. 11 letter. You requested stating that Roberson campus 12 was in question for next year. After receiving that 13 letter, many parents began to reach out more 14 frequently. We tried to follow up to see what the 15 status was and if we could help. At your meeting you 16 said that you were pushing to hear some of the 17 planning commission (inaudible) for April, that we 18 could try to get an answer more quickly to the issues 19 of the special use permit.

However, April came and went, we were not on the agenda, and we still heard nothing from school. He called me on the 18th in response to three e-mails I sent requesting information. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Three minutes. Thank

25 you, Ms. McAdorey.

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

1	LINDA SIDLER: Good morning. My name is
2	Linda Sidler, and I want to thank you for your
3	continued support of charter schools, and in
4	particular, Quest Academy.
5	I feel like Mrs. McAdorey, that it was
6	never that you honestly tried to help our school
7	and help it move along in a positive direction. I'd
8	like to read this on behalf of my husband, Tim
9	Sidler, who is a former board member, and we're both
10	parents of Quest students, and I myself was an
11	employee at Quest.
12	I would like to speak about the receiver
13	that was appointed to Quest last October. Mr. Kern
14	was appointed without any procedures in place such as
15	applications or postings of the position. I
16	understand there is now an extensive process that has
17	been put in place, and I am thankful that you realize
18	the need for such procedures. While I commend Mr.
19	Kern for working through some of the financial issues
20	that have plagued Quest, I also must express my
21	disappointment to you, as I have done to Mr. Kern.
22	In his lack of communication to the parents and staff
23	of the school, this board has directed better
24	communication from Mr. Kern, and he himself has said
25	in meetings with us parents that he would improve,

but it hasn't happened. 1 2 A meeting was held earlier this week, and 3 Mr. Kern mentioned that he would work on appointing 4 some locals to help with his email communication. 5 This one aspect of this whole process, that we as a 6 school have gone through, has led to so many issues. 7 It has fueled dysfunction and rumors that have 8 plagued the school, and has forced so many employees 9 and parents to leave because we didn't know what was 10 going on at our school. 11 And I hope that in future communication this 12 is taken more seriously, in order to help the parents 13 understand what is going on and to help the staff to 14 be able to help the families in their understanding 15 and in their process through their children's 16 education. So many families don't understand what 17 needs to be done and how to help their own children. 18 So I really hope that this will help. 19 I hope that the board will also continue to 20 work towards helping this process because what our 21 school and what our families have gone through has 22 not been pleasant, and all we wanted to do was help. 23 And without information and communication, it led to 24 so many negative things, so many rumors, so much 25 upset and heartbreak. And we all want help.

1	So the board has the ultimate responsibility
2	to govern its schools, and your dedication is
3	appreciated, but please learn from the mistakes that
4	this has caused and help us to help you to better our
5	children and our schools. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Just really
7	quickly, if you have written statements that you
8	weren't able to finish, please submit them so we can
9	have them on the record. Thank you.
10	KATE BARBER: Good morning. My name is Kate
11	Barber. I have a first grader in Quest who's now
12	going into second grade. I know we've heard a lot
13	about the high school at the Roberson campus and all
14	the concerns and issues. I wasn't a part of that. I
15	wanted to come today to speak to you about what I've
16	seen at the Torrey Pines campus.
17	Since this all started and we moved a
18	receiver, and who I've actually never met, I've
19	noticed the quality of the campus has gone downhill.
20	The communication is seriously lacking. There is no
21	communication with the administrative staff. There's
22	no notification of issues, events, anything, really.
23	I questioned whether to put my son back there. And I
24	will be honest with you, the only reason that I would
25	consider it is because of the educator, one educator

1	that's there that I tru	st with my	son.	Otherwise	e, he
2	wouldn't be going back	to Quest.	The qu	uality is	just
3	not there.				

4 The school rating has gone downhill since 5 this started, and I have serious concerns, especially 6 when I volunteer on the second to last day of school 7 and teachers can't tell me if they are coming back 8 because they have not been offered contracts. Thev 9 have gotten nothing in writing to keep them there. 10 They have nothing even verbally to keep them there. 11 So the last day of school, teachers are in limbo. 12 Don't know what's going on. Parents are in limbo. 13 I'm talking to everyone. They have no clue.

14 It's concerning. That's not the way a 15 school should be run, in my opinion. And I am a 16 business person. I work with a multimillion dollar 17 real estate company. And we would be closed for 18 So I just want to make sure we have the business. 19 right people in place making good decisions and 20 speaking with the parents. Our opinion matters. It's our children's education, and clearly they need 21 22 all of the support that they can get. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Miss barber. 24 Are there any public comments in the north? 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, there's not.

	Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We have Braxton Pacquet.
2	I hope I said that correctly. We also have Denise
3	Pacquet, and then finally Mandy Pacquet.
4	BRAXTON PACQUET: Chairman and board
5	members, we would like to have our daughter speak
6	first. Would that be all right?
7	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's absolutely fine.
8	Thank you very much. Mandy?
9	MANDY PACQUET: Chairman, board members,
10	thank you for giving me the ability to speak here.
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good morning.
12	BRAXTON PACQUET: Can I read her notes for
13	her?
14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah, if that's what
15	you'd like.
16	BRAXTON PACQUET: These are the notes Mandy
17	took. She said, "Number one, if you do the math I
18	got an A in guitar." We're talking about her third
19	and fourth quarter grades for her beginning guitar
20	class. "Two, if you were to create arbitrary rules,
21	that would be micromanaging like the sock rule." Her
22	principal said, you can only wear certain kinds of
23	socks, so we've never asked you to intervene. That
24	would be micromanaging. She said, "This board
25	doesn't need to enter into discussion about something
·	

1	so trivial." We're talking about grades here.
2	"Number three, we, the kids, go to a place where the
3	teachers can cheat us out of our fair grades, and you
4	kids do nothing, and you wonder why kids are dropping
5	out of school. Number four, when my teacher cheated
6	me out of the grades, the principal backed them up,
7	and the administrator backed up the principal, and
8	the school board will back up the administrator."
9	And she knows that because she's been to the
10	meetings when the school board rubber stamped the
11	administrator.
12	Do you have anything else you want to add?
13	Do you have any questions for her? You can't do
14	that, right? So we'll go ahead and move on.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Please.
16	BRAXTON PACQUET: Thank you, Mandy.
17	Mr. Chairman and all board members, thanks for the
18	ability to speak before you, and thanks for listening
19	to our concerns. Now, we're requesting
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: State your name for the
21	record.
22	BRAXTON PACQUET: My name is Braxton
23	Pacquet.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.
25	BRAXTON PACQUET: We requested
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>that, right? So we'll go ahead and move on.</pre>

1 investigationS by this board. We requested the 2 chance to present evidence to you because this is not 3 the forum for that to happen. We requested to 4 ability to place an item on your agenda at the 5 soonest possible meeting of this board because б Mandy's school, Doral Academy, is being running by 30 7 plus year veterans of CCSE's worse era. We brought 8 these situations of incorrect grades to the attention 9 of teachers, principals, the school system, the 10 administrator, and they refused to use the scoring 11 and grading criteria that they set forth to arrive at 12 the grades. It's impossible for Mandy to have earned 13 the grades she was given by her teacher in her 14 beginning guitar class using the criteria set forth 15 for grading.

16 We're not asking Doral for a favor. We're 17 asking them to do their job. Mandy has been cheated 18 out of the grade she earned. If she cheated and got a better grade than she earned, it would be a 19 20 scandal, and you people should look into it, and so 21 should the school board of her school, and she should 22 be punished. It's exactly what's happening right now 23 at the school. They're cheating her out of her 24 grading and giving her a worse grade than she earned 25 by the points she got. You can get her criteria.

1	There was no failing notice sent out, and by the way
2	they gave her a 75 in her class with a weighted
3	system, 50 percent of the grade, 25/25. She had to
4	be getting a running F. No failing notice was sent
5	out.

6 The school must at the very least grade 7 fairly. And this is not what has been done. Thev must show how the grades were arrived at, and that is 8 9 not what they did. There are no score entries in her 10 campus portal, in the infinite campus, and the 11 teacher just said, I'm going to give her 75 for the 12 whole nine weeks. The only way she could have gotten 13 that was her participation would have had to have 14 been a 50. A bad, very low F, and that didn't 15 happen.

16 The teacher does as he pleases with no 17 communication to the students. Her principal rubber 18 stamps the teacher's decision. The school system, the administrator, rubber stamps the principal, the 19 20 Doral school board rubber stamps the school system 21 administrator, and Mandy gets crunched in the wheels 22 and the gears of this system. Someone has to say, 23 not on my watch. We're here to ask you, step up and 24 do the job that's set forth.

²⁵ This is not micromanaging. This is the

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting					
1	scores. These are the grades. It's not job one at				
2	school, it's job 0.				
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Three minutes.				
4	BRAXTON PACQUET: Someone has to say, not on				
5	my watch.				
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.				
7	DENISE PACQUET: That's everything.				
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.				
9	DENISE PACQUET: See something say				
10	something.				
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I need you to state your				
12	name.				
13	DENISE PACQUET: I'm Denise Pacquet. Thank				
14	you.				
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.				
16	BRAXTON PACQUET: So that's it.				
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. All right.				
18	We will move on to agenda item. I am going to go a				
19	little bit out of order: I would like to be able to				
20	get to agenda item number 6, which is the Quest				
21	receiver update, and we will do that first.				
22	JOSHUA KERN: Good morning. My name is				
23	Joshua Kern. As you know, I am the receiver for				
24	Quest Preparatory Academy. I want to take this				
25	opportunity to summarize some of the key developments				

	0
1	since my last report on February 23rd, 2016, after
2	which I'd be happy to address any questions you may
3	have.
4	Before I begin, though, I would like to
5	thank all of you, the SPCSA for your support and your
6	courage in appointing a receiver. I hope that you
7	will be encouraged by the significant progress that
8	we have made toward the goals of financial solvency
9	and long-term sustainability of Quest in just eight
10	months.
11	I have previously submitted a preliminary
12	report that summarizes the events leading to the
13	appointment of a receiver, including the findings of
14	Deloitte, based on their own investigation. In the
15	interest of time, I will not reiterate these facts
16	now.
17	Today I'm happy to report the following
18	accomplishments: We have settled with many of the
19	school's creditors, built a comfortable cash reserve,
20	installed a new team of administrators, removed all
21	of the employees associated with the foundation,
22	enrolled 939 students for next year representing
23	nearly full enrollment, 93 percent at all three
24	campuses. Reached an agreement with the landlord
25	that Quest will be in Torrey Pines at least through
1	

the end of next school year. Created a balanced budget for next school year, reduced payroll by \$50,000 a month, reduced class size in kindergarten to meet the state requirement, issued contracts to all teachers, and begun laying a foundation for a high performing school.

None of this would have been possible
without the unwavering support, commitment and
remarkable patience of our parents, students, faculty
and staff. This has been a challenging year, and I
am constantly amazed by the support from the school
community. Without this support, Quest would not
have been able to continue to operate.

14 I want to take this opportunity to mention a 15 few highlights. We settled our obligation with the 16 Quest had a \$50,000 past due obligation to the YMCA. 17 YMCA, along with a lease for an ongoing obligation, 18 which totaled more than \$520,000. The YMCA has 19 completely forgiven this past due obligation, and 20 relieved us of the ongoing lease. I want to take 21 this opportunity to especially thank the YMCA and 22 Mike Lunday, the executive director, for his 23 cooperation. 24 We made our first of three payments to PERS

We made our first of three payments to PERS totaling \$102,000 to pay down the past due

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

1	obligation. \$239,000 remains on that past due
2	obligation. We settled with Univest Capital to buy
3	out the lease mimeos at a discounted purchase price.
4	We settled with Dynamic Property on the Roberson
5	campus, which I will discuss in more detail later,
6	relieving the school of \$341,000 of past due
7	obligation, as well as ongoing lease payments
8	totaling approximately \$3 million for a nominal sum.
9	We settled with Centennial Executive Suites,
10	which forgave our \$54,000 past due obligation, as
11	well as the ongoing lease payments totaling \$130,000.
12	All told, we eliminated \$4,232,110 in past and future
13	obligations of Quest.
14	As you know, it is paramount that Quest
15	solve the facility issues at each of its three leased
16	campuses. It is the receiver's job to stabilize the
17	school, which requires secure and affordable
18	long-term locations for each campus consistent with
19	the SPCSA's strategic plan.
20	Specifically, my focus as receiver has been
21	to keep Quest in its current buildings with
22	reasonable leases that are fair to the school and the
23	landlords, and I will do everything in my power to
24	see that this occurs, including utilizing the legal
25	system.

1 Let me take a little time to let you know 2 where things stand at each of the three campuses. 3 First Torrey Pines. As you know, Quest moved from 4 Montecito to Torrey Pines for the 2015 school year 5 after the Montecito lease expired. Torrey Pines was б originally built as a business park with three 7 permanent buildings and eight portable classrooms. Four of the portables are leased for one year. 8 The 9 other four portable are leased for two years. All 10 the portables have two-year permits, which end next 11 summer.

12 Quest has two objectives that need to be 13 satisfied as part of any long-term agreement with the 14 property owner. First, that the property must be 15 affordable over the long-term; and second, that any 16 long-term agreement includes the immediate 17 implementation of a capital improvement plan that 18 will provide the Quest community with a suitable 19 educational facility.

The most straightforward way to achieve these two objectives is for Quest to purchase the property from the landlord. Unfortunately, we have not been able to reach an agreement on a sale as of this hearing. In the interim, the landlord has assured Quest that it will not do anything to

1	compromise Quest's use of the Torrey Pines campus at
2	least through the end of the next school year. Going
3	forward, Quest will pay the owners of the trailers
4	directly, and will also directly undertake all of the
5	necessary maintenance and improvements this summer
6	and next school year. Quest will continue to
7	negotiate with the landlord for either a sale or a
8	long-term lease that achieves the aforementioned two
9	objectives.

10 Next I want to talk a little bit about 11 You'll recall that Quest has a sublease Bridger. 12 with the foundation for \$42,000 a month, and that the 13 foundation has a lease for approximately \$27,000 a 14 month with the property owner CSP Bridger Avenue, 15 As a result of Quest's nonpayment of rent, the LLC. 16 foundation issued an initial five-day notice to pay 17 rent or quit on December 8th, 2015. That initial 18 five-day notice was withdrawn after Quest submitted 19 its response.

On April 4th, 2016, in the middle of student testing, the foundation served Quest with a second five-day notice to evict Quest and the 146 students attending the Bridger campus. On April 12th, 2016, the foundation also served Quest with a 30-day notice to quit the premises.

1	In response, on May 6th, 2016, Quest filed a
2	complaint against the foundation for injunctive
3	relief and accounting, declaratory relief, breach of
4	contract arising under the independent contractor
5	agreement; breach of implied covenant of good faith
6	and fair dealing, tortious breach of implied covenant
7	of good faith and fair dealing, and breach of
8	fiduciary duty under the independent contractor
9	agreement.
10	Quest immediately filed a motion for ex

11 parte temporary restraining order and preliminary 12 injunction on an order shortening time which the 13 court heard on an expedited basis resulting in the 14 issuance of a temporary restraining order on May 6th, 2016, and the entering of a preliminary injunction on 15 May 23rd, 2016, enjoining the foundation's 30-day 16 17 notice to quit the premises from becoming effective 18 until a trial is heard on the merits.

The preliminary injunction order was formerly entered by the court on June 1st, 2016. On June 16th, 2016 Quest obtained a default against the foundation issued by the clerk of the court. Quest is in the process of filing an application for default judgment against the foundation for which you will seek entry of the judgement against the

1	foundation	to	include	an	accounting	and	а	money
2	judgment.							

The application for default of judgment will require a hearing date for the court to determine the parameters of the default judgment relief sought for the entry of the judgment against the foundation. Quest will also conduct post-judgement discovery after the judgment is entered.

9 I also want to let you know that we are 10 currently attempting to negotiate directly with the 11 property owner, no longer the foundation, to purchase 12 or lease the building. We have made a fair offer to 13 purchase the building and a fair offer to lease the 14 building, and we are awaiting the property owner's 15 response. In the meantime, we have no intention of 16 leaving Bridger.

17 Now, on to Roberson. As I mentioned in my 18 previous testimony back in February, the Roberson 19 campus presented an especially tricky situation. 20 Because in addition to needing to renegotiate an 21 unaffordable lease compromising 44 percent of the 22 total campus revenue, the future of the campus also 23 hinged on the renewal of the special use permit. 24 Ultimately after much haranguing in a public meeting 25 in May with parents, I decided that the Roberson

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

1	campus was no longer viable for Quest, and I decided
2	to close it at the end of the school year.
3	Let me emphasize that this was a very
4	difficult decision. I recognize that Roberson served
5	as an important and possibly unique educational
6	institution to many parents of students with special
7	needs that attended Roberson. And I recognize that
8	it can be very difficult to find an accommodating
9	placement for special needs students, and my heart
10	breaks for these families. I believe we did
11	everything we could to keep this campus open.
12	The landlord for the Roberson campus is
13	working to move a new school, the David McKay School,
14	into this facility for the start of the 2016/2017
15	school year. Quest is in the process of moving out
16	of the Roberson campus, and must vacate the Roberson
17	campus by July 1st. Quest and the receiver have no
18	connection with the David McKay School.
19	In recognition of the challenges created by
20	closing this campus of Quest, Quest is taking the
21	following steps to support the parents and students
22	of the Roberson campus throughout the summer and the
23	2016/2017 school year. On Thursday, July 7th, we
24	will provide additional information regarding
25	graduation requirements as well as information about

1	other high school options. We will host a morning
2	session at 9:00 a.m. and an evening session at 5:00
3	p.m. After the informational meeting, parents will
4	be invited to make appointments with Quest staff to
5	address individual concerns and questions,
6	specifically assistance for applications, zoning for
7	school boundaries and course selections.
8	We will also conduct a kind of survey on
9	July 7th to find out additional targeted needs of our
10	families. We will appoint a staff member from the
11	Roberson campus to serve as a personal liaison for
12	our parents. The liaison support will be tailored
13	based on the results of the survey. During the
14	school year, liaisons will be available Tuesdays,
15	Wednesdays and Thursdays from 4:00 to 5:00 p.m. This
16	support will include tutoring and supports to
17	achieving the Nevada academic content standards. If
18	needed, the liaison can communicate with students,
19	teachers and parents to monitor progress. All of
20	this went out in a letter to Roberson parents
21	yesterday.
22	I'm also delighted to report that we have
23	appointed Tiffany Ferguson as our parent coordinator
24	to assist the receiver in communicating directly with

25

parents, and I think she will be a big help in making sure that parents' questions and concerns are being addressed in a timely fashion.

4 As we know, serious mismanagement of the 5 school spans several years, and will take a б significant amount of time to fully unravel and 7 remedy all of the financial problems still facing the 8 school. We still have pending litigation matters 9 with Hertstech (phonetic) lease over a furniture 10 agreement, and with Mr. Truman, the school's former 11 general counsel.

We are constantly trying to resolve these matters, including, for example, facilitating the purchase of the FF&E at the Roberson campus by the successor tenant, which will further reduce any financial exposure to Quest.

17 With that said, I hope you are encouraged, 18 as I am, by the significant progress that has been 19 made since my appointment. I also recognize that I 20 have not addressed many of the academic 21 accomplishments of the school, and I hope to be able 22 to do that with you at a subsequent board meeting. 23 In closing, let me thank the board of the 24 SPCSA and Patrick Gavin for the opportunity to serve 25 on behalf of the Quest community. Today I ask only

	5
1	that the SPCSA continue to support Quest's ongoing
2	negotiations with the landlords. I believe it is
3	very important to Quest and the charter school
4	movement to allow the negotiations with the landlords
5	to run their course. After those negotiations are
6	completed, it will make sense to discuss the next
7	steps for the school.
8	At this time I'd be happy to answer any
9	questions you might have. I apologize for the length
10	of that testimony. There's a lot going on.
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: A lot to be said. Do you
12	have questions? Nora?
13	MEMBER LUNA: I don't have any questions.
14	It's very impressive. That's exactly the reason why
15	you were appointed. I wish the parents felt a little
16	bit more engaged so they don't feel this way. I
17	don't think there would be any difference in the
18	results. This is what you were hired to do. Again,
19	I wish the parents could be a little more informed
20	and having more communication. This is why the rest
21	of the school can stay open.
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Conaboy?
23	MEMBER CONABOY: Chairman Johnson, good
24	moring. I'd like to follow-up with what Member Luna
25	just said. Mr. Kern, because you've heard the
1	

1	parents' concerns this morning, your report is very
2	impressive. It sound like a lot of hard work. It
3	sounds like a lot of very difficult and technical
4	work. And it may not not translate well to a report
5	to parents on a regular basis, but could you please
б	address for us what appears from the parents'
7	perspective to be a lack of communication and a lack
8	of sharing of details with them about the future of
9	the school? Because what I'm concerned about, even
10	though we now have a parent liaison, is the lateness
11	of the notice and the slim options that they have for
12	next year.
13	So while I share Member Luna's appreciation

13 So while I share Member Luna's appreciation 14 for your difficult and effective work, can you talk a 15 little bit about communication with the parents so we 16 can learn a lesson from the process, please.

17 I'm sympathetic to their JOSHUA KERN: 18 I certainly take responsibility for not concern. 19 responding to every outreach that a parent has made 20 I acknowledge that there have been responses to me. 21 that -- there have been outreaches that I have not 22 responded to. I know that is a problem and a 23 challenge. I have responded to some, I would say 24 even many. We've also held public meetings, public 25 hearings with the parents, and I think we've been

both through hearings and through written
communication, very straightforward with the parents
about what we were doing, what I was doing, and as
best we could, kind of handicapping the likelihood of
Roberson staying open.

б I've been working in the charter school 7 movement for almost 20 years, and without question, 8 the most difficult thing to do is close the school. 9 And no one wants to do that. I don't want to do 10 And when I was appointed on October 26th, that. 11 2015, it was my intention to keep all of the campuses 12 open. It just was not possible with Roberson.

And it really is heartbreaking, especially hecause for many of the students and parents, there isn't a great alternative to Roberson. And I recognize that. And if there was any way to keep Roberson open, we would have, and we would have fought to do it. I just didn't see a path forward.

19 I think in retrospect the mistake that I 20 made was in talking about the special use permit as 21 being part of the problem, I think that sent a 22 message unintentionally to the parents that this was a problem that they might be able to help solve. 23 The 24 parent community in Roberson is very active, as you 25 can see. They're engaged. They care. They're

1	passionate. And when I would say something about the
2	special use permit as being an issue, I think the
3	message they received was, you know, let me see what
4	we can do to help, and it really galvanized them to
5	act. When in fact, it was more than just the special
б	use permit. There were a number of issues. And
7	really the primary issue was the unaffordability of
8	the lease. And frankly, I thought it was clear about
9	that in the February 23rd hearing, and I thought I
10	was clear about that in subsequent public meetings
11	and written communication.

12 But I completely understand the message that 13 was received was different, and I think I understand 14 why that's the case. And I think in retrospect, 15 knowing what I know now, I think I would have been 16 clearer about all the challenges that we were facing 17 at Roberson, and probably would have less emphasized 18 the special use permit being just one of the factors, 19 and the totality of circumstances that required us to 20 close the campus. 21 Chairman Johnson? MEMBER MACKEDON: 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes. 23 I'm Melissa Mackedon, for MEMBER MACKEDON:

- 24 the record. I would also just add what Mr. Kern
- ²⁵ said, that this topic of, you know, now we don't have

1	choices, and that was very specifically talked about
2	as a concern of the staff when Mr. Kern was before us
3	before and was an argument for not granting the
4	opportunity to get the special use permit. And we
5	very specifically advised people that this could
6	happen, and then you're not going to have options,
7	and then you're going to come before us and say, now
8	we don't have options, and it's going to be our
9	fault.
10	So I mean, I feel like, we really were very
11	specific about, you still need to jump on your
12	options and not wait until the last minute. So in
13	fairness to both Mr. Kern and the board and the
14	staff, I feel like we were very, very specific about
15	that when he was before us last time.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I think one of the only
17	things I would like to add, I think the entire
18	situation is unfortunate. I think we started in a
19	place that wasn't a good one. We didn't have very
20	many great options because of the financial situation
21	the school was in from the start.
22	It makes me wonder, though, in looking at
23	the entire situation, what systems could we put in
24	place. We don't want to be back at that starting
25	point, but if we get to that point, what systems
1	

1	could we put in place to ensure that, again, parents
2	don't feel like they have more they have more
3	involved than might need to be. And also, how do we
4	ensure that the communication be done in such a way
5	that parents understand what is happening every step
6	of the way. Because more than anything, they just
7	wanted to kind of be in the know, and not
8	necessarily the outcome probably would have been
9	the same even if they would have been in the know.
10	So just thinking about this, the lesson I'm learning
11	from here, is how do we ask the questions that ensure
12	that we have systems in place to provide good
13	communication, and that parents are engaged and as
14	involved as they can be when you're doing really
15	difficult work. And I think having a single point
16	person, actually, was probably the reason why we were
17	able to get so much completed in such a short amount
18	of time. That's also the reason we weren't able to
19	have all the things that we needed with the
20	communication.
21	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Director Gavin.
22	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you for the
23	thoughtful question, Mr. Chairman. So there were
24	some things about this that were quite unique. The
25	level of financial distress that the school was in,
1	

1	and the need that was identified by this body and by
2	individual members and by elected officials as well,
3	related to the imminent threat of immediate
4	bankruptcy for this school.
5	We did attempt to apply local talent who
6	could have done this job, included but not limited to
7	direct outreach to the Department of Taxation, which
8	has taken a similar role with relation to school
9	districts in the past. You must know, they would
10	like (inaudible) County (inaudible) County was in
11	financial distress some years ago. Our overtures to
12	those parties were not responded to.
13	Again recognizing that this was a situation

where the school was in imminent danger of not taking 14 15 a community role. We did move expeditiously, did our 16 due diligence by reaching out to other charter 17 apparatuses throughout the country who had experience 18 with receiverships, and then reached out to Mr. Kern 19 Mr. Kern was the one who and other parties. 20 responded and was willing to do what was probably 21 what is the most challenging financial turnaround 22 that I have ever witnessed in the state in my 23 20 years. 24 And frankly, as someone who -- as parents

²⁵ have noted, there are times when I have said this

school should or indeed would need to be closed. 1 2 Because this is by far the most challenging situation 3 that I have ever seen in my lifetime in this space, 4 where a school has actually been able to achieve the 5 kind of results academically that this school has 6 done, thanks to Mr. Kern's extraordinary work. But 7 there are things I think we can improve. And some of 8 those we've already implemented. So we do now have 9 an RFT process to recruit receivers for this and 10 other purposes, including academic turnarounds. That 11 was something that we were not in the position to do 12 based on, again, our fear of imminent closure of this 13 campus and leaving kids and families with no option 14 in the middle of November. And also the danger and 15 distress that that would create for our partner in 16 the Clark County School District. We have had 17 multiple contacts with the school district throughout 18 this process, as well, both at the superintendent and 19 at the CFO levels.

I think one of the unique challenges to this particular school, but I think also a lesson learned because I think it is an issue that we will see in other schools as well, in that the staff on site, who are the individuals to whom a receiver is expected to work, were understandably quite resistant to change.

1	They had been kept in the dark I think for a very
2	long time regarding the imminent danger of financial
3	distress of the school.

4 I think we tried as much as possible to not 5 create a panic. Had people understood the broader set of dangers that staff might not get their б 7 pensions paid for, that people could lose their jobs 8 within days, that the school could be evicted from 9 any one of its campuses at any time based on its 10 inability to pay its rent. There was great concern 11 about staff running for the exits, of parents running 12 for the exits, and then essentially creating the 13 situation we're trying to avoid, which is closing the 14 school.

15 And I will note that it is actually discussed in the RFP documents, which we have modeled 16 17 based on receivership models from other states, 18 including but not limited to the State of Massachusetts, which has a statutory receiver 19 20 provision for schools or districts that are either in 21 academic or financial distress. That one of the key 22 things is structuring how caring outreach happens, 23 how communication happens. 24 The flip side of that, that is much more

²⁵ costly. Receiverships are not cheap. We were in the

1 position where because it is possible and indeed 2 likely that most of the costs of the receivership and 3 the costs of the legal counsel may be able to be 4 covered through the DNO for this particular school 5 because of the level of malfeasance in this б management that went on. It's the technical term for 7 directors' and officers' insurance for the school. But those funds are not unlimited. And they are very 8 9 much something where if you're going to get 10 reimbursed from the insurance company for this, it 11 has to be directly related to the matter that spawns 12 the problem. And communication, as much as it's 13 important, is not something that insurance companies 14 typically want to pay for. If you go tell your 15 customer what's going on, that actually scares the 16 heck out of insurance companies.

17 And this is a school, again, that the only 18 way it's been able to pay its people is by cutting 19 the accountant and by not paying other bills and by 20 settling. It's very, very hard to then sit there and 21 go, Yes, we're going to go, and we're going to spend 22 \$30,000, \$50,000, whatever it might be, on yet 23 another FTE for the purposes of telling parents 24 things, when frankly all we're doing is we're making 25 promises we may not be able to keep.

1	How do you do anything other than say, a
2	bunch of newbies that are going to frustrate the
3	parents who are in this room. My heart goes out to
4	them. This is a lousy, lousy situation. We are not
5	a resources agency to go out and do an investigation.
6	As you recall, it took over 18 months from the time
7	when the initial reports of mismanagement came
8	through and when we asked the board to took action.
9	And that is a direct result of how this state is
10	structured and how it's governed, and how as we as a
11	state agency are limited in our ability to intervene.
12	We are very fortunate, and the school is
13	extraordinarily fortunate to be in a position where
14	much of it may well be able to survive But this is

¹⁴ much of it may well be able to survive. But this is ¹⁵ extraordinarily tough. The other agencies that do ¹⁶ this work in other states have ten times our head ¹⁷ count, and they still work through receivers. We are ¹⁸ doing this on a very, very thin margin.

And I will note that this agency specifically made a budget request related to our belief and our obligations to be more communicative to parents, to be able to respond to complaints, to be able to investigate. That has been denied at this point. There is no interest in funding that additional work because they would rather that we

	Weeting
1	close schools rather than do what we were doing.
2	We are doing what I believe is right by
3	parents, but we're not able to do it as well as we
4	would like.
5	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I appreciate the candor
6	there, Director Gavin. And certainly not discounting
7	all the challenges that we face, despite challenges,
8	I know and believe we can always get better. And
9	again, I think this was incredibly hard work. It was
10	good work. It was not easy work, and I don't think
11	it was perfect work, and I don't think anybody would
12	say that it was perfect. But it's work that actually
13	had to be done in order to (inaudible), but some of
14	the greater good is to make sure that some students
15	can still go to a campus at a school that cares about
16	them, and then they want to be where they want to be.
17	So is there any further discussion or questions?
18	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, member Conaboy.
20	MEMBER CONABOY: Thank you. I would like to
21	follow up on something that Director Gavin just
22	talked about, which is extensive outreach to Clark
23	County School District, and I'd like to ask Mr. Kern
24	to comment on what is happening to facilitate parents
25	finding other placements for their children. I heard

1	July 7th, but school starts in early August. So is
2	there something in place now to facilitate the
3	appropriate placement of the children who will be
4	affected by the closure of the Roberson campus?
5	JOSHUA KERN: We don't have anything formal
6	in place at this time. Informally, I know that
7	parents are reaching out to their students' teachers,
8	and the teachers are being responsive. And they are
9	also reaching out to administrators, and
10	administrators are helping out in any way they can.
11	But the formal part of our support will start on
12	July 7th.
13	MEMBER CONABOY: And as a follow-up matter
14	to that, is Clark County School District fully
15	apprised of the situation and expecting that they
16	will hear from each parent? Because they will be the
17	ones with the receiving schools, I would assume.
18	JOSHUA KERN: I have not sent formal
19	notification to Clark County School District. That
20	sounds like a good idea, and I will do that.
21	DIRECTOR GAVIN: And I will reach out to the
22	Clark County CFO and make him aware. One mitigating
23	factor in this is that Mr. Kern, correct me if I'm
24	wrong about the number but my understanding is we
25	had at the Roberson campus, we had approximately

1	60 requests to return.
2	JOSHUA KERN: Right.
3	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Many, many families have
4	already made other options, or have not notified
5	Quest that that was there choice for where they were
6	returning. But I will reach out to Mr. McIntosh this
7	afternoon and Pat Swierkowski as well to make sure
8	that they are aware of this latest development
9	because I know they're having conversations about
10	this, okay? You're right.
11	We had wanted to have this conversation as a
12	public process before reaching out directly to Pat
13	and Jim, especially knowing the deep connections
14	between this school and its former leadership and the
15	administration of the district. We're well
16	aware that they know about what's going on here,
17	but I think it's entirely appropriate once this board
18	has been apprised to then reach out to them as well.
19	May I say one additional thing, actually, to
20	the parents that are here and the parents who
21	couldn't be here. For our families in this, for the
22	areas where we could have done better, I personally
23	apologize. This is heartbreaking. And I am sorry
24	for what you have gone through and the toll it has
25	taken on you and your children in order to ensure

1	that this school can survive.
2	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?
3	Member Luna?
4	MEMBER LUNA: What are the next steps? Do
5	we have a timeline of when it can be transitioned
6	now? I know we're not completely out of the loop
7	yet.
8	JOSHUA KERN: My focus right now is securing
9	the two properties, Torrey Pines and Bridger, and
10	also to continue to try and settle some of the larger
11	outstanding past due obligations, Sprint, Herzteck
12	lease and a couple others.
13	And frankly, I'm not primary focused on I
14	have a timeline for what happens after that because
15	I'm so hyper-focused on getting that done. I see
16	that as an essential accomplishment before it makes
17	sense to entertain what happens to Quest once we've
18	reached a point where the school is financially
19	solvent and has long-term security.
20	Just as a point of reference, I served as
21	the receiver of options of a charter special, school
22	school in Washington D.C. for special needs students,
23	and I am just now wrapping up that receivership, and
24	I was appointed in 2013. I mean, it takes time.
25	DIRECTOR GAVIN: I would note also, as some
1	

1	parents have alluded to, there was, seems to be in
2	the year, and partly as a result of all of the
3	challenges that the school has experienced, there has
4	been an observable decline in the quality of the
5	academic program. We are well aware that the
6	school's ACT scores are in the lowest to the
7	portfolio, lowest in the state. Its graduate rate is
8	not where it needs to be. The preliminary aspect,
9	which admittedly has lots of noise in it, and cannot
10	be used for accountability purposes, also it is
11	indicative of academic challenges as well.

12 This school will need to have a full needs 13 assessment, a full evaluation of what its academic 14 trajectory is for the needs of its children, and that 15 is something that, once this financial crisis has 16 basically begun to abate to a point where there's a 17 true window forward, there will absolutely need to be 18 a very engaged and inclusive process for figuring 19 out -- for identifying what the cold, hard reality is 20 of where the school is academically as well as 21 financially, and then charting a pathway forward. 22 What that looks like, whether that 23 ultimately results in the emergence of an independent 24 board for Quest, or Quest as an insolvent school then 25 be merged into another school within the authority's

1	portfolio and under a governing body that has
2	significant experience and with appropriate
3	(inaudible) under the statute, that the board
4	provides hold-harmless for that entity related to the
5	past academic challenges of this school.
6	This will take time, and it will need to be
7	a public and inclusive process, and it will have to
8	include this body at multiple points in time. Once
9	the negotiations that mostly have to be conducted are
10	done related to landlords and lienholders and
11	everybody else, we'll be in a position to have a
12	much, much more thoughtful conversation about what
13	parents want this school to be for their kids. Right
14	now we have to make sure it stays open.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: One of the things that

16 you mentioned, Mr. Kern, was there's a cash reserve, and then you also just mentioned that their focus 17 18 right now is the Torrey Pines, and then also the 19 Bridger campuses and negotiating those. Are you 20 negotiating those for the long-term purchase or 21 long-term lease? And that cash reserve, with that 22 cash reserve today, how far away is the school from 23 being financially solvent, in your estimation? 24 JOSHUA KERN: So we are negotiating possible 25 purchases and possible leases at both Torrey Pines

1	and Bridger. At Torrey Pines, in particular, the
2	sale option is more attractive to Quest because
3	Torrey Pines needs a fair amount of capital
4	improvement in order for it to be a more than
5	adequate academic facility. And I think it would be
6	easier at this point for Quest to own the building in
7	order to design and implement the capital improvement
8	work that it desires as opposed to having it go
9	through the landlord. And I have expressed that to
10	the landlord.
11	With that said, we would still be, under the
12	right circumstances, we would be open to a long-term
1.0	

13 lease that included the same type of capital

improvement program. Bridger is a much smaller campus. It's a much smaller facility. The amount of effort it would take to improve that facility is nowhere near the scope that is required for Torrey

¹⁸ Pines. So I think it could morph into a longer term

19 lease, and we would propose that to the property

²⁰ owner, but we're also fine with a purchase as well.

21 It's really up to the property landlord. It's kind

of open-ended. We just hope he will be responsive.

We do have a decent cash reserve now, but we have financial obligations that far exceed that cash reserve, so we're going to need to continue to settle

	0
1	the past due obligations at something less than their
2	full value, and we've made the creditors aware of
3	that. Not all of them have accepted our proposal, so
4	we continue to negotiate.
5	We also anticipate that if we need to
6	purchase one or both of the facilities, that we may
7	need some cash reserves in order to do that. So it's
8	important for the school to have appropriate amounts
9	of working capital as well as reserves to use to
10	either pay down either settle past due obligations
11	or as part of a down payment. We're going to need to
12	be able to tap into that. So it's important part of
13	the plan if you go with the cash reserves.
14	MEMBER WAHL: Mr. Chairman, I'm Member Wahl.
15	If I may, please?
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah, go ahead, please,
17	Member Wahl.
18	MEMBER WAHL: (Inaudible). In the
19	beginning, you said that you had (inaudible)
20	(inaudible). It sounded like what is the enrollment
21	going to look like for next year, and how is that
22	going to impact the financial position.
23	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Her question was, what
24	does the enrollment look like for next year, and how
25	will that impact the financial position.

1	JOSHUA KERN: Right. So those were the
2	projections for next year. 939 students are
3	currently enrolled for next year, and that's
4	93 percent of our full enrollment target, which is
5	just over a thousand. And we fully expect that we
6	will be able to be at full capacity at this point,
7	and we have no concerns about next year's budget.
8	MR. GAVIN: There's also the statutory
9	condition.
10	MEMBER WAHL: Okay. I thought it was said
11	that was a (inaudible) campus, but you'll be back to
12	two campuses next year.
13	JOSHUA KERN: I'm sorry. I didn't talk at
14	all today about the Alexander campus. We have a
15	small campus. Yeah, exactly.
16	MEMBER WAHL: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Kern, is there any
18	concern about that, you know, we're at 93 percent
19	capacity and when all of them are in flux that that
20	number falls below 93 percent, and we kind of spiral
21	back to where we were before. Is there a way for us
22	to ensure that we kind of stay at that 93 percent or
23	grow? I don't know what strategy you might have in
24	place. I'm sure that we can keep attendance where it
25	is so that we don't again fall back into financial

difficulties. 1 2 JOSHUA KERN: Yes. So we're still 3 recruiting new students for next year, and we're 4 holding events to put the word out that we are open 5 and that we are actively recruiting at certain grade б levels. We're also in constant contact with those 7 parents who are enrolled in (inaudible) who actually 8 intend to come back. So we get a pretty good sense 9 of those parents who are actually committed, and some 10 of the parents may still be testing the water at 11 other places. 12 I think the other thing is that we will make 13 adjustments if we have to. So one of the mistakes 14 that Quest made previously was that it budgeted for a 15 program for a certain number of students, and then 16 enrolled less than that, and then never really 17 adjusted the staff -- right sized the staff to meet 18 the actual number of students it was serving. So I 19 don't think this is likely, but in the unlikely event 20 that we have less than 93 percent, we will make the 21 appropriate adjustments. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Director Gavin? 23 MR. GAVIN: I would note also that as a 24 school that has declining enrollments, from this 25 current year to the next school year is clear, there

1	will be some eligibility for hold-harmless payments
2	as all public schools are permitted to access with
3	very limited statutory exceptions, largely to ensure
4	that those kind of fluctuations do not further
5	destabilize a school or a district. That doesn't
6	mean this (inaudible) will not continue forever, and
7	then they will simply decrease pretty rapidly over
8	time. But there are methods in place to cushion some
9	of that.

10 I think the most important thing that we can 11 do to ensure -- and when I say "we," really I mean 12 the school and this body as oversight for that 13 school -- to ensure that this school does not now 14 have a revenue problem as well as an expense problem, 15 is to solid type the facilities plan for both Torrey 16 Pines and Bridger. Once parents can have the 17 confidence that we would all expect to have before 18 untrusting someone we love to a school, I have no 19 doubt that this school will be in a position to be 20 fully enrolled.

JOSHUA KERN: I agree that that is the number one priority, securing the facilities and improving them. Because as the landscape becomes more competitive, the condition of the facility matters.

Depo International, LLC (702) 386-9322 or (800) 982-3299 | info@depointernational.com

1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Is there a way we could
2	get I know that we won't have I don't think it
3	has to be every month, but it just needs to be a
4	continual update so we are completely aware. It may
5	come from Mr. Kern, it may come from Director Gavin.
6	I just want to make
7	DIRECTOR GAVIN: I would actually say unless
8	Mr. Kern cannot be here, I think it would be useful
9	to have this conversation again in July and in
10	August. Because this two- to three-month period is
11	by far the most important in terms of this particular
12	situation. And while this body cannot do much
13	actively to fix this school's problems, nor is it
14	something we have the authority or the resources to
15	do. We need to be in a position where there is at
16	least this public process, if nothing else, to ensure
17	that as much information is being communicated as
18	possible.
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Perfect. So then we can
20	expect to see you back in July, Mr. Kern?
21	JOSHUA KERN: I look forward to it. And I
22	will also leave copies of my testimony this morning
23	so you have it.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.
25	JOSHUA KERN: Thanks so much.

	Meeting
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Appreciate it.
2	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes. I'm sorry. Who is
4	that?
5	MEMBER CONABOY: This is Member Conaboy
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Conaboy.
7	MEMBER CONABOY: for Mr. Kern relative to
8	a request that I'd like to ask if that on the next
9	agenda we can have an update on the receivership at
10	Silver State just so we keep ahead of the information
11	curve on this going forward? Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Duly noted. Thank you,
13	Member Conaboy. All right. We will move on did
14	she get here yet? Oh, she's not. Okay. We will
15	then move on to (inaudible).
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just for a moment. I
17	just want to connect Mr. Kern with these parents.
18	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. I guess we
19	can do the approval of the minutes while Mr. Gavin is
20	talking to those parents. So as to Item Number 2, is
21	there any discussion that needs to happen?
22	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair, Member Conaboy
23	again.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Conaboy.
25	MEMBER CONABOY: I proposed some proposed

edits to staff yesterday in my review. They weren't
substantive, they were technical, grammatical issues.
So I will move approval with corrections as
submitted.
MEMBER MACKEDON: Member Mackedon will
second.
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All in favor of accepting
the amendments from the May 12 and 13 (inaudible),
and also from May 20 pending the adjustments
submitted by Member Conaboy. All in favor?
ALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any opposed?
There are none. All right. We will go to agenda
item number 3, which is update, discussion, possible
action regarding the (inaudible) charter school and
the strategic plan.
DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
The staff has proposed, more as a strong man more
than anything else, some particular metrics related
to the elements that were identified in the strategic
plan. This is that yellow column of measures on
page 1 of this PDF Power Point.
With relation to the goal number 1, which is
the opening and sustaining all the public schools
that reflect the demographics in their community.

The proactive end goal of practice is equitable
 funding and focusing on local talent to opening these
 schools.

4 I will submit the following three metrics, 5 The percentage of open enrollment schools which are: б with late (inaudible). The percentage of schools 7 with mission-specific adverse preferences, and the 8 percentage and number of four and five star schools 9 successfully committing leaders for these charters. 10 So that is one set of metrics that again, staff are 11 proposing, but we'll certainly take feedback on --12 we're attempting to identify things that we can 13 actually measure without adding lots of new process, 14 but are very (inaudible) to see that accomplished.

15 The second goal, the wavering commitment to 16 high quality schools, four and five star schools is 17 supported by the following undergirding principals, 18 which is to approve only the highest quality 19 applicants, to reward high quality schools and 20 disseminate best practices, to sanction those 21 performing schools to align standards to assessments, 22 and a third-party comprehensive assessment of the 23 quality of the sector.

We have also articulated the following three goals: The number and percentage of seats at four and five start schools. Four and five star levels were equivalent. The number of and percentage of new schools created of four or five stars in their first year of being rated, and then the number and percentage of low performing schools closed each year.

7 Related to the third goal of fulfillment of 8 public school obligations, which is undergirded by 9 the following three guiding -- four guiding 10 principals of ensuring equitable service to 11 underserved populations, including but not limited to 12 English language learners and students with 13 disabilities, as well as kids in poverty; rewarding 14 schools equitably serving underserved populations; investigation and sanction of schools that do not 15 16 equitably serve underserved populations; and the 17 recognition of problems incurred in partnerships to 18 facilitate solutions to students in environmental 19 challenges.

We have articulated the following to, again, strong man metrics for this: The number and percentage of open enrollment schools with all subgroup populations within ten percentage points of sending schools, and the number and percentage of schools adopting and implementing equitable policies

with relation to the final set of -- the final goal for the Authority.

The facilitation and the community of 3 4 practice for charter school operators and leaders to 5 build a culture of innovation and collaboration б operated and undergirded by the following two guiding 7 principals: The leveraging of the authority's LEA 8 rules incurred and resulting in dissemination of best 9 practices, and collaboration with the governor's 10 office and other key state holders to incur the 11 formation of high quality schools to support the overarching workforce and economic development close 12 13 to the state.

14 We have suggested the following three 15 metrics: The number and percentage of four and five 16 star schools that develop and disseminate best 17 practices in academics and equity. The number and 18 percentage of one, two and three star schools that 19 increase by one level or more per year, and the 20 number and percentage of targeted RFP school 21 applications received and approved. And this 22 charter, the applications would again be for 23 schools -- for programs that are better aligned to 24 the state's workforce development and economic 25 development. So CE programs in aligned areas, for

1	example, or other things that are outlined in the
2	state-wide strategic plan for improving the economic
3	diversity within our state.
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I had a couple questions,
5	but I will allow for others to ask their questions
6	first and provide feedback if there is any.
7	MEMBER MACKEDON: I just have one quick
8	question, if I can. Just remind me, Patrick, what
9	were we talking about when we were saying align
10	standards to assessment? In the second goal. The
11	measures and the metrics, I think they are reasonable
12	and sound good. I can't remember when I read
13	that, it sounds like the work of a school, not this
14	board, so I'm thinking that maybe I'm not remembering
15	what we were talking about, maybe?
16	MR. GAVIN: It was a point that was raised
17	by, I believe former Member McCord and seconded by
18	other members of the board related to the need to
19	continue to look at how the assessments that we use
20	to measure student performance actually align to
21	standards.
22	So for example, if the state moves away from
23	the Nevada academic contents and updates those to
24	some other set of expectations, that the
25	Authority-mandated assessments, such as ACT, Aspire,

1	align with those standards. And also to the degree
2	that there is this misalignment with other
3	state-mandated assessments. So for example, the
4	state's newly adopted expectation for schools to
5	administrator math and measure that in a progress
6	assessment at certain grade levels, that that be
7	something that we, if nothing else, advocate for to
8	ensure such assessments are aligned to the
9	expectations. Something which, Melissa, I believe
10	you did very vocally in your private capacity before
11	the state court last week.

MEMBER MACKEDON: I'm thinking maybe we could -- maybe we could just make a little tweet then and say like, Align assessments to approve -- Align approved assessments to improve standards. I don't know, but something --

17 DIRECTOR GAVIN: I do think it is also 18 something that absolutely could be moved to the 19 school level. Or could simply be -- I don't know 20 that it necessarily needs to be in here. I think 21 staff would want to ensure that we were taking 22 feedback from all the voices on the board. And this 23 was something where there was interest based on 24 Member McCord's points in talking about it. 25 I don't think we would have any heartburn

1	about removing it and giving us just three bullet
2	points here. Because I do agree, it can be something
3	which number one, it could get us in the weeds of
4	what schools do and how to interpret. And there's
5	also vulnerability in calling into question this
6	alignment, especially for things that are mandated by
7	folks other than us. In the community
8	MEMBER MACKEDON: So how about
9	DIRECTOR GAVIN: versus your
10	individual
11	MEMBER MACKEDON: The verbiage that we had
12	written down that day maybe we could just go with
13	it is "Assure assessment aligns to standards that
14	determine quality." Maybe it needs a little tweak on
15	that one. Sorry. I don't want to get totally in the
16	weeds here.
17	MR. GAVIN: No. Yes, absolutely. I missed
18	that one. Probably this is just what my notes look
19	like. So yes, remind me what the language was in
20	writing, and I will (inaudible). Thank you, Member
21	Mackedon.
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I've got a question
23	about, so among the measures that we have, how do we
24	actually measure against it, right? So percentage of
25	open enrollment schools that align with the

	0
1	standards. If it's 1 percent, if it's 5 percent, if
2	it's 20 percent, what are we saying we want to
3	actually hit there? For any or all of these, I
4	guess. So we have, like, how we will measure? Do we
5	know yet what we will measure? And how do we make
6	sure that number isn't just arbitrary?
7	DIRECTOR GAVIN: It's a very good question,
8	Mr. Chairman. I think the first thing to do is to
9	establish a baseline for where we are. So in terms
10	of percentage of open enrollment in schools
11	(inaudible) lotteries, from a policy perspective
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That was one example.
13	DIRECTOR GAVIN: I think that's also
14	something that's a larger policy discussion for the
15	board to just talk about what it wants this to be. I
16	would strongly argue that we (inaudible), that we
17	over the next five to seven years get to 100 percent,
18	because our schools we need to ensure that our
19	schools are representative of the diversity of this
20	valley and the diversity of this state.
21	And as we are all well aware, one of the
22	canards that is frequently articulated related to
23	charters is that they don't equitably serve all the
24	kids.
25	I don't think that that in some cases we
1	

1	have schools that have done extraordinary work to
2	remedy deficiencies in that area. There are schools
3	that I think can do more. But it's also an area
4	where we have to recognize it is a critique, and the
5	nature of lottery-based versus assignment-based
6	schooling is something that can perpetrate
7	disparities, and that can be problematic. It is
8	something that I think our legislature will continue
9	to look at.

I have had multiple conversations with individual legislatures during this interim related to their direct concerns related to members of their constituencies not being able -- or not believing they can access -- whether it is true or not -- not believing that they can access our highest achieving schools.

17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So with any of these 18 measures that you have, are you proposing a next 19 How do we determine where the baseline is? step? 20 How quickly can we determine that, and then how 21 quickly can we get some quantitative figures in 22 there. I think the one thing I would love for us to 23 be able to do is one year from today, look at what we 24 did over the next 12 months and say, yes, we either 25 exceed the goals that we set, or we're approaching,

1 you know. 2 Because I think the best way for us to 3 measure how well we're doing is to actually have some 4 targets out there, and then start going after them. 5 DIRECTOR GAVIN: Yeah, absolutely. So just б really quickly. So percentages of open enrollment 7 schools weighted by (inaudible) was 40 to 0 in their 8 rewards -- the state's (inaudible). We have 9 authorities working on regulations related to the 10 how, when, what, where (inaudible). We have 11 authority in our CSG grants and under S2 also have 12 required schools to amend weighted lotteries. So 13 there's that, but that is new policy. Related to 14 mission-specific adverse preferences, we have zero schools right now that have a mission-specific --15 16 that are --17 MEMBER MACKEDON: Patrick? 18 DIRECTOR GAVIN: Yes, ma'am. 19 MEMBER MACKEDON: I'm sorry to interrupt 20 I have a suggestion. I understand what Adam is you. 21 getting at, but I also feel like we're putting you on 22 the spot right now. There's a lot of metrics here. 23 Would it be agreeable if we said like, for example, 24 in July, we're going -- you know, that gives you and 25 staff a chance to prepare, here's the baseline for

1	goal number one and its measures, and then we can
2	have a discussion about what we want to get to. And
3	then in August, we could do goal number two, here's
4	the baseline. Then we can have a discussion.
5	That way it might break it up into a more
6	timely, doable and thoughtful activity.
7	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We don't want you to give
8	us answers now. But it's clarification. Thank you
9	for telling me that. Actually, I just wanted to make
10	sure that we were thinking about it. So that process
11	seems fine with me. Like if we were going to have
12	a you know, you guys will come with a baseline, we
13	will determine and then we will contact the targets.
14	The process is fine with me. I was just wondering
15	what that timeline might look like. I didn't really
16	want you to come off the top of your head with
17	numbers today.
18	DIRECTOR GAVIN: And I appreciate that,
19	Mr. Chairman. I think we can absolutely do that. I
20	think the only one that will probably need to have
21	some additional some definition on policy
22	conversation about is the second goal under the
23	second measure under goal 3, which is the
24	implementation of equitable policies.

25 That's just creating a list or a rubric of

1	what the I think everything else is pretty
2	agreeably quantifiable, and I actually related to
3	agenda item number 7. We think we'll be able to get
4	more data on a number of these areas based on the
5	work of the (inaudible).
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So are we in agree
7	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes. Member Conaboy.
9	MEMBER CONABOY: I just want to point out,
10	Director Gavin just phrased the issue about another
11	one that I had circled to raise today. I think we
12	need to look at the way we use the word "equitable,"
13	and really figure out what we mean by it. I don't
14	think there's any such thing as an equitable policy.
15	There are policies about equity. But we need to be
16	really careful about what we mean as we use that
17	word.
18	So I really would endorse Member Mackedon's
19	approach of taking this thoughtfully goal-by-goal
20	over our next several meetings and have staff explain

to us baselines and then set targets. I think that's a great approach. And I appreciate the early work by staff in putting something here that was the strong man that we can discuss. I think that's very, very useful, and I appreciate that.

1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I would completely
2	concur. So this is I'm excited to be able to
3	start readying our progress and start taking steps.
4	So the next thing that's going to happen is in July.
5	We'll tackle the measurements from goal number 1, and
6	then we will speak with them over the course of that
7	couple of months. Does that work, Director Gavin?
8	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Absolutely, Mr. Chairman.
9	Thank you so much for that, and to you and to all
10	members of the board for the thoughtful feedback. I
11	would go also particularly in relation to that
12	definitional point, we would love I think staff
13	would really appreciate input from schools on what
14	that truly should look like so we have some ability
15	to have a conversation about that. This is very
16	important, but also brand-new work.
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Completely agree. Any
18	further questions or discussions? Thank you,
19	Director Gavin.
20	We will move on to agenda item number 5,
21	Updated Notices of Intent
22	MEMBER WHITNEY: Mr. Chair?
23	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes?
24	MEMBER WHITNEY: Sorry to interrupt you, but
25	Member Mackedon's proposed change on language, were

	Meeting
1	we going to have a vote on that now?
2	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do we need to have a vote
3	on that proposed changed language?
4	MEMBER WHITNEY: It is listed as an action
5	item, but I don't think it's actually necessary.
6	DIRECTOR GAVIN: So I think I would be
7	perfectly fine with the board moving to direct staff
8	to change it. I would also note that this draft plan
9	is a work in progress, and this is feedback. So my
10	intent at this point is to take Melissa's feedback
11	and incorporate it in. And there will be a point
12	when this draft plan actually gets put on and adopted
13	formally. I mean, I'm agnostic either way.
14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Well, I'm going respond
15	to your question. You're still in the working
16	document phase so because it's not finalized.
17	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Mr. Chair, that's fine.
18	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you so much.
19	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you so much.
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So we will move on to
21	agenda item number 5, which is Notices of Intent
22	received by the SPCSA for the 2016 summer application
23	cycle.
24	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	Patrick Gavin from the Authority, for the record.

1	Staff wished to notify the Authority board
2	of the receipt of 18 notices of intent by the
3	deadline set forth by the Authority. In past years,
4	we have found that there has been some off in between
5	who submits services of intent versus who ultimately
6	submits an application or who submits an application
7	within a given cycle. With that said, I would like
8	to note a few data points related to this.

9 The first is that several of these 10 applications are either recent additions from family 11 groups that have approached this body previously, or 12 are submissions for applications or of groups of 13 folks who have submitted previously and now are 14 coming back with a rather different ID. So I would 15 like to quickly highlight those for you.

16 So Atlas Academy of Reno, as you will 17 recall, back in the fall of 2014, this body received 18 an application for Atlas Academy of Southern Nevada 19 that would have been located somewhere here in Clark 20 This is not that applicant group. This is a County. 21 new applicant group based in Northern Nevada, which 22 had represented to staff that they sought out this 23 particular provider. And we look forward to reading 24 their application. I will note that the provider has 25 reached out at multiple points since the denial in

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting			
1	2014 seeking feedback on how to improve its process,		
2	how to improve how it works with applicants.		
3	So while one cannot of course prejudge what		
4	the quality of that application will be, I would note		
5	that this is not something that is a complete		
6	surprise to us, and that there has at least been some		
7	attempt at reflection and taking feedback. So we		
8	will be interested to see what it looks like.		
9	The other group that has a tangential link		
10	to that previous applicant is the Flex Academy of		
11	Southern Nevada. I would note that Flex Academy is		
12	actually a trademark of the corporate of K Ball, Inc.		
13	This school is not affiliated with K Ball, Inc., and		
14	it has no interest in partnering with them. As a		
15	management requirement of the boards and licenses		
16	services, they will likely be changing their name		
17	based on feedback and concern from us and other folks		
18	that they've been using someone else's name and just		
19	didn't know.		
20	At least two members of that founding group,		
21	that community forum were previously associated with		
22	the Atlas application for Southern Nevada. They have		
23	stayed very involved. It Appears to be very much		
24	based on, again, thoughtful engagement with this		
25	process and thinking through what they really want to		

1	do. So Dr. Singer and others who were involved with
2	that application as members of the community forum
3	have been very interested in that work.
4	And then additionally, we have Mountain West
5	Academy. Mountain West Academy was an applicant
6	group that staff denied based on administrative
7	incompleteness back in the fall of 2015. And they
8	have substantially or have represented that they have
9	substantially re-pooled both their application and
10	their model based again on feedback from us and on
11	feedback from other parties, including but not
12	limited to the Charter School Association of Nevada
13	relating to their program.

14 So they initially proposed the STEAM model. 15 Their findings based on additional mediation with their community up in Reno is that STEAM has become 16 17 something of a buzzword versus a program. Everybody 18 kind of wants to be STEAM right now. So they are 19 actually looking more deeply at some STEAM elements, 20 but also focusing on much more core knowledge based 21 on what they represented to staff at this point. 22 And finally, we have a replication. Mater 23 Academy in northern Nevada is a replication of the

24 existing Mater Academy Model here in southern Nevada

²⁵ with a separate governing body that would be based in

the north. So this submission would be one that 1 2 would be particularly in peril. Pathway to 3 Education, that looks to actually be a duplicate 4 submission. (Inaudible) for that project has since 5 gone to other opportunities. So I believe that that б may be a duplicate versus two actual application. 7 But we will know more, again, once we actually see 8 what they give us.

9 So the other thing I would note is that 10 based on feedback from this body related to applicant 11 training, for the second time now in two cycles, 12 staff has offered training to all folks who have 13 submitted those. That training took place last night 14 simultaneously in Reno and here in the south thanks 15 to the very generous hosting of Coral Academy of 16 Las Vegas and the Coral Academy Washington County 17 sponsored school up in the north.

18 And it was done initially via video 19 conference, and when that didn't work well, we did it 20 with staff facilitating in other locations and 21 speakerphone. So we were nothing if not flexible and 22 resilient, and I believe that the feedback from the 23 applicants who did attend was that it was a positive 24 experience and that they learned a great deal. 25 MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?

Meeting			
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am.		
2	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair, I'd like to ask		
3	the director a question.		
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, please.		
5	MEMBER CONABOY: Director Gavin, is that		
6	technical assistance training required, or is it		
7	optional?		
8	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you, Madam, Member		
9	Conaboy. As of right now, it is not required.		
10	Members of this body have in the past expressed a		
11	desire for the Authority to require this of		
12	applicants prior to submitting an application. That		
13	is not in inconsistent with what a number of other		
14	states do. For example, here the state charter		
15	school board requires all applicants to participate		
16	in training as part of the application process. And		
17	that is something I believe there is language in your		
18	draft regulations that will eventually come before		
19	this body once LCD has provided that for this body to		
20	look at that and consider whether it wishes to put		
21	that into policy or not. But as of right now		
22	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair, may I ask the		
23	director that when we get through the application		
24	process and we're hearing applications that his		
25	report on the applicants include whether they		

1	attended the technical assistance training? I think			
2	they should attend. Even though it's not required,			
3	in my mind, it shows a little of commitment.			
4	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Member Conaboy, I was			
5	actually planning on doing that. That's one reason			
6	we actually moved to an electronic tracking system			
7	for registering people for this event, right, so that			
8	we would actually have a real record of who came and			
9	who didn't. So I'm reading your mind, Kathleen.			
10	One additional point I would like to make,			
11	and this is really a preview of coming attractions.			
12	One thing we absolutely heard from applicants is that			
13	this has been valuable information, and they wish			
14	they had been able to get it sooner. It has been			
15	past experience of the Authority that holding a			
16	training prior to people submitting a notice of			
17	intent means it is more of a vendor fest with			
18	prospective developers and other folks showing up.			
19	Most people, until they actually commit, aren't going			
20	to take the time out to do this.			
21	With that said, I think it will be likely			
22	that we will want to have a broader conversation			
23	about whether the notice of intent deadline should be			
24	put even further out, for a couple of reasons: One,			
25	to allow people to get access to what is hopefully			

1	helpful training and feedback earlier on in their
2	development process. Two, one of the ways in which
3	applicants for the federal charter school program
4	grant can apply for this class is if they have
5	submitted a notice of intent to a sponsor such as
6	ourselves. So aligning that timeline with what will
7	likely be the notices of the application timeline
8	for the CSP grant would be helpful to our applicants.

9 As finally, from a logistical and practical 10 perspective, as this body is aware, as our applicants 11 from the previous cycle are aware, due to the timing 12 of procurance and approval of work programs and all 13 that needs to happen or whatever, we wish to expend funds for anything that for which we don't have 14 15 actual data at the time or legislation with an 16 approved budge. So that it means all of our internal 17 reviews have to be done based on actual applications 18 received. We have struggled to get through the 19 timelines set by LCD, the budget office, and the 20 legislature related to getting those approved in a 21 timely manner to facilitate the applications. So if 22 we were to bump the notice of intent out further, I 23 think it would give us more time to go through that 24 procurement process and then we can use the data we 25 have right now for conversion rates between notice

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

	0		
1	and application to at least develop a baseline set of		
2	reviewers and get them onboard fast enough to be able		
3	to give a faster turnaround to applicants as we've		
4	been able to do in the last two cycles.		
5	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Is there something we can		
6	do to help you?		
7	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Approve a broader		
8	approve a longer timeline, I think, would be the		
9	first step. And again, we'll have more flexibility		
10	to do this as this board (inaudible) regulation in		
11	the next several months.		
12	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair, one last		
13	question.		
14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Conaboy.		
15	MEMBER CONABOY: Director Gavin, I notice		
16	that you have a note here on Innovation Academy that		
17	it's a private school conversion that caught my		
18	attention. I know there is a ban in statute or a		
19	prohibition in statute against public schools		
20	converting to charter schools. Is that not the same		
21	prohibition for private schools?		
22	DIRECTOR GAVIN: It is staff's		
23	understanding, and we will certainly be working with		
24	the Deputy Attorney General on this. But based on		
25	our brief reading of some of the early language in		
1			

1	what is now (inaudible) other useful information, our			
2	statutes have now moved to a new chapter in the NRS.			
3	We are no longer in Section 386. We are now in 388			
4	A. And that chapter is exclusively for district and			
5	state sponsored charters. 388 B is the new section			
6	of the NRS related to the achieving school district,			
7	but we're in A. Which is good. We're the A player.			
8	So there is no prohibition, based on my			
9	reading of the NRS, that would prohibit a charter			
10	school converting to a private school. What would be			
11	prohibited, and this applicant is aware of it, would			
12	be any automatic enrollment or enrollment preference			
13	for students who are currently in that school moving			
14	over. Just by way of context based on conversation			
15	with this applicant both via telephone to clarify			
16	what they're up to and based on their presence at the			
17	training last night, is this is a Montessori program,			
18	or a Montessori-based program, which has focused most			
19	of its outreach on students and families who have			
20	food allergies or other health impairments which			
21	would preclude them from attending a traditional			
22	public school.			
23	So this is a school that intends to adopt			

23 So this is a school that intends to adopt 24 some policies that prohibits, for example, peanut 25 butter or any other allergies coming onto site. So

	-		
1	that would be a requirement for any student who's		
2	enrolling at the school. So I think it's a really		
3	intriguing premise. They do have a least one year of		
4	results they are eager to share with this body and		
5	talk through. I think if nothing else, it will be a		
6	fascinating conversation.		
7	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions or		
8	discussion?		
9	MR. OTT: Mr. Chairman?		
10	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes.		
11	MR. OTT: Just to follow up on Director		
12	Gavin's comments. Deputy Attorney General Gregg Ott.		
13	A citation for the allowance of a private school		
14	closing and then reopening as a charter school is NRS		
15	388 A-075, subsection 2A. Member Conaboy, it's		
16	pretty explicit.		
17	MEMBER CONABOY: Thank you, Mr. Ott.		
18	DIRECTOR GAVIN: We're out of time.		
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Director		
20	Gavin. I'm going to call for a recess until 11:00,		
21	and then we will come back, and we will move on to		
22	agenda item number 4 when we return at 11:00 a.m.		
23	(A recess was had)		
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And then we will get		
25	right back to agenda item number 4. So Mr. Colquitt,		

if you are here, we'd love to have your public comment now. And then we will get to agenda item number 4 when you are finished. So then we have our three-minute limit for Mr. Colquitt as well.

5 MR. COLQUITT: Good morning, board. Thank 6 you for allowing me this opportunity. Please excuse 7 me, I'm in little league, just drove my child 30 8 minutes ago.

9 My name is Lamont Colquitt. I was the site 10 administrator for Quest Academy Roberson campus. And 11 I rushed up here because I know representation was 12 very important. So I put a list together of facts. 13 I know that I did reach out to Mr. Kern many a times, 14 through the phone and emails, and did not receive any phone calls back from him. The only time he was able 15 16 to call me back or did call me back is when I had to 17 reach out to one of your board members to contact 18 him, and then that's when Mr. Gavin reached out to me 19 as well.

20 So I talked to Mr. Kern. Come to find out, 21 on my birthday, June the 13th, I got a call from 22 students, parents and staff members about my school 23 closing down. I had to contact one of your board 24 members for Mr. Kern to finally call me on a Tuesday 25 to tell me my school was closed down. Then I get a

1	call from staff members, students and parents that a
2	new school is opening in my facility on Thursday.
3	I'm like, nah. To me, that was kind of wicked. I
4	don't know. People everyone's claiming they don't
5	know what was going on. Someone has to have known.
6	You know, and then a comment made about
7	political friends. The political friend discouraged
8	my parents. My parents started taking their children
9	out of my school, and your political friend also
10	encouraged them not to re-enroll into my school, so
11	of course I don't have the numbers to have another
12	school for the next year. But I feel like it was
13	a strategy or strategic the way my school was closed
14	down. It was already in the plans and in the making.
15	So I came here to represent as administrator from
16	staff that my heart goes out to the students.
17	Wherever they choose to go to school, I pray that
18	their administration has a soft heart for these
19	children, for my children with Aspergers, the 504's,
20	the IEP's. We were truly a family that cared.
21	And so I'm not going to harass you or hold
22	you up any longer. What's done is done. However, it
23	wasn't done right. Thank you for your time.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Colquitt.
25	All right. We will move to agenda item number 4,

Meeting			
1	which is discussion and possible action on the SPCSA		
2	pre-K memorandum of understanding.		
3	MIA BARRY: Good afternoon to the board and		
4	staff of the SPCSA. And first, I'm Mia Barry,		
5	educational program professional for the SPCSA. And		
6	I would like to introduce two guests that I have		
7	brought with us today. Patty Noya, who is the		
8	director of the office of early learning with the		
9	Nevada Department of Education, and then Ashford		
10	Clayborn, who is a teacher at Mater Academy for our		
11	pre-K program.		
12	First I'd like to just give you remind		
13	you that I was before the board about a year ago,		
14	right, when I first started, and provided you with		
15	some information about the prekindergarten program,		
16	and then we were a sub-grantee. So I just wanted to		
17	give you a little background and remind you of some		
18	of the things that we talked about back a year ago.		
19	On January 15th, 2015, Nevada was awarded		
20	the 40-year federal pre-K development grant. And		
21	following that, during the legislative session,		
22	Governor Sandoval approved for the '15/'17, biennium,		
23	10.3 million dollars to provide all of the access to		
24	preschool.		
25	In that, we were one of the seven		

Depo International, LLC (702) 386-9322 or (800) 982-3299 | info@depointernational.com

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority - 6/24/2016 Meeting

1 sub-grantees to provide that need in five high-needs 2 communities. So Churchill County School District, 3 Lion County, Washoe, United Way of Southern Nevada, 4 who serves as the sub-grantee, Clark County, and the 5 community services agency provides services in Washoe 6 County, and then there's the state public charter 7 school Authority, and we provide services in both 8 Washoe County and in Clark.

9 Our goals for the seats over the four-year 10 period is broken down between north and south. And 11 so in the presentation, what I've just provided for 12 you is just kind of the overall goals of where we 13 So for year one, there were actually originally are. 14 40 seats granted in the grant, but because of our my 15 actual school did not start until July, we agreed 16 with the department that we will move those 20 seats 17 to year four of the grant.

18 So we implemented 20 seats in the north. 19 And I'll get to the sub-grantees part, who those 20 recipients for those grants were. And then we have 21 40 seats in the south. So in year two, we have 40 22 additional seats in the north and will have 80 23 additional seats for 120 seats in the south. 24 The numbers on the right-hand side indicate 25 how many seats we've already filled. So in year one,

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

	incoming and incom
1	we filled 55 seats. We were not able to fill the 40
2	seats in the south because of capacity in the
3	buildings. So the rooms ended up being smaller than
4	licensing would allow. And so those were 35 rather
5	than 40.
6	In year two, we will add an additional 75
7	seats in the south, and an additional 35 seats in the
8	north. So there's a total of 55 in the north at this
9	point and 110 in the south.
10	So in 2015/2016 we worked with Mater Academy
11	in the south, and that's where the 35 seats were.
12	And I'm going to share in a few minutes a little bit
13	of the results that we were able to gain with the
14	children that were in that program.
15	And then Sierra Nevada Academy Charter
16	School in the north. And Sierra Nevada Academy are
17	charter schools that are sponsored by Washoe County
18	because we don't have any charter schools that sit
19	specifically in those high-needs communities. So we
20	reached out and created collaborative efforts with
21	other charter schools that will be able to have space
22	and provide services.
23	For this coming school year, we will be
24	working with Mater Academy. Mater Academy is doing a
25	lot of great work. They expanded to four classrooms
1	

1	in their building, and they are also going to work
2	hopefully with a community service provider to expand
3	some additional seats in that community, and they are
4	also working with Nevada Hands to potentially build a
5	learning center behind their building. So we are
6	really looking forward to expanding the program and
7	getting children in at an early age.

8 Sierra Nevada will continue to do 20 seats. 9 In Washoe County, we've added Mariposa Charter School 10 and High Desert Montessori. What is really cool and 11 interesting -- I use the word "cool" because you 12 know, of course we're dealing with kids -- Mariposa 13 is a 100 percent ELL population. They do both 14 Spanish and English, and they do a Spanish immersion 15 program. So two or three days a week, the program 16 will actually be taught in English and then the other 17 two or three days a week they rotate, and they 18 actually teach in Spanish. So it's full immersion, 19 and we're excited because we're collaborating with 20 Some are (inaudible) some SP four or five. them. So 21 it's just an exciting time to look at ways we can 22 stretch our resources and make sure students have 23 high quality opportunities. 24 And High Desert Montessori is a Montessori

²⁵ program, and they are already a private preschool

1 program, but they are also a charter school. So 2 three-year-olds and four-year-olds in the past have 3 been private, and students with this income 4 population were not able to access this program, so 5 now they have provided some seats for students that б meet the free and reduced lunch population will be 7 So they will be serving about 15 able to access. 8 students in their program across four different 9 classrooms.

10 Some highlights from this school year is 11 that we served as the pilot for many of the new 12 things the department was doing. We piloted the 13 district model for the quality rating information 14 system through Children's Cabinet. We utilized both 15 the Eckers model and the Klein's, both of them are 16 rating systems, as well as they are systems that are 17 utilized to measure the quality of preschool. Our 18 programs increased their quality in just three months 19 with the coaching that was provided to them through 20 Children's Cabinet where original ratings were 21 somewhere around the 2.3 level, which is about 22 average for most preschool programs that are rated 23 for the first time using the Eckers model. And then 24 they raised their scores from 3.2 to 3.45 in a very 25 short period of time. So they really did work hard,

1	and we	saw <u>r</u>	pretty	good	gains	in	what	the	children
2	were le	earnir	ng as v	vell.					

3 We were evaluated during the federal review 4 process where the review team actually visited our 5 site, and from the feedback that I received, they б were very pleased and did a good job with Mater 7 Academy. We filled the seats to the capacity of the 8 buildings that we were in. We completed the child 9 chair licensing process. And those of that you have 10 been around know I've been sending e-mails, that it 11 was not an easy process to get through because none 12 of the state agencies work well together. Collected 13 (inaudible). Sorry.

14 We used teaching strategies goals. And this is some of the data that we collected. 15 This slide 16 may be a little difficult to read. But there are six 17 domains; social, emotional, physical, language, 18 cognitive, literacy and mathematics. Our students 19 were completely a hundred percent ELL, and it was a 20 pleasure to have such little people in our classes, 21 in our building. They came in with absolutely no 22 language. And the results show that. 23 So when we took our initial benchmark, the 24 first bar will show you how many students actually

²⁵ met standards when they came in the door. So in the

1 social emotional, we had about 9.4 percent of the 2 students. 38.4 percent of the student met in 3 physical, and 25 percent of the students met in 4 language. And if you notice in the cognitive and 5 mathematics areas it was at zero percent. So they б basically were not school ready. So oftentimes our 7 goal is to look at particularly the social/emotional, 8 the language and cognitive areas, especially prior to 9 going to kinder, because we know if we are able to 10 from a cognitive standpoint get students to attend, 11 teaching them how to stay focused on a particular 12 topic for a period of time, all those are good 13 cognitive bases, good cognitive skills they will need 14 in order to be successful in their schooling and 15 their academic careers.

16 By the time we took our winter benchmark, 17 you'll notice that 90.6 percent of our students were 18 meeting the social/emotional. A hundred percent are 19 meeting in fine and gross motor skills. 90.6 percent 20 of them were meeting in language. And we even had 21 one young man who had absolutely no language when he 22 came in. For the federal review panel he came in, 23 and he answered questions from panelists, you know 24 asking him several things, like What is your favorite 25 subject, and What are the things you enjoy doing in

1 school, and he was actually able to articulate that 2 he enjoyed reading, and he liked the center, and he 3 liked playing outside and all of those things. But 4 he did that in English. And he just made tremendous 5 gains.

6 The literacy area, we met the benchmark. We 7 were filled. We were not where we wanted to be, but we didn't (inaudible), so I have not finished 8 9 aggregating all the data from the spring benchmark. 10 We just finished a week ago. And so once I get all 11 that data in, I'll be sure to share it with you. But 12 I am sure that we will see some tremendous gains in 13 that area as well.

14 Children's Academy is coming in this summer, 15 and we're actually offering three days a week of the 16 summer program. And then it was just a collaboration 17 that we set up and was able to offer that to those 18 So of the 35 students that we had in the students. 19 program, 25 of them are participating this summer at 20 Mater, and they're going three days a week during the 21 whole month of July. So we are looking forward to 22 good results when we do a kindergarten entry 23 assessment, which has just been approved by the 24 department. And I believe that we are participating 25 in that pilot.

1 So one of the things that we have done, and 2 the reason that we brought this before you this 3 morning -- this afternoon is that we needed to put 4 together a memorandum of agreement so that each of 5 the schools were very clear about what it is that we б were asking them to do. In the first year of the 7 program, certainly, you know, we were just trying to make sure we were meeting all the elements of the 8 9 grant. Not that these things are not in the grant, 10 they are, but we just want to get started. So we needed to add a little bit more time around what we 11 12 were doing.

13 One of the things is teacher quality is very 14 important. So they have to have teachers that are 15 either 5.2 on the quality rating scale, which is a 16 part of the registry -- the Nevada registry for early 17 childhood education, or they have to be licensed 18 teachers with an early childhood degree. There is 19 nothing between. There's either 5.2 or the 20 certification.

21 Child eligibility is that the child has to 22 be 4 by September 30th, which is in line with that of 23 their school district and/or -- and meet the income 24 eligibility requirements, which is 200 percent of 25 poverty. So we collect that information. But those

	0
1	are the only two eligibility requirements for this
2	particular program.
3	And programs are more than welcome to do
4	blend-in models. It's just that PDG grant will only
5	pay for those children that are 200 percent of
6	poverty, and that they are four by September 30th.
7	The program intensity and the class size and
8	the ratio are very important. We expect the class
9	size to be no larger than 20. So even though
10	licensing may allow 30 students in a classroom or 25
11	or whatever the case based on space, this grant will
12	only allow for 20 students in one classroom, and they
13	must maintain a one-to-ten ratio.
14	Curriculum and assessment. One of the
15	things that was important to me in rolling this out,
16	that was our ability to collect authentic data that
17	is based on students that are in their natural
18	environment and were able to gain collect evidence
19	that supports the data that I showed you in this
20	particular slide. So it's important that we use a
21	tool that will allows us to do that. So we've
22	included in our memorandum of agreement that we want
23	each of the schools to do teaching strategy goals,
24	and they must collect a certain amount of data to
1	

²⁵ support the results that we are expecting to get.

1	Parental involvement and comprehensive
2	wrap-around services are a huge component, that is
3	the family engagement component. So each school must
4	have a plan for family engagement. And we actually
5	spend quite a bit of time monitoring those plans and
6	how they're implemented to ensure that they meet the
7	needs of the grant proposal that was written.
8	So based upon all of that, we're asking the
9	board to delegate authority to staff to execute a
10	memorandum of agreement for pre-K development grant
11	service delivery and grant funding. And if you have
12	any questions, please.
13	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any questions
14	from members of the board?
15	I just have one question. I don't know if
16	this directly relates to being able to delegate the
17	authority. Maybe you said it, and I may have missed
18	it. But what is the student capacity that we have
19	for this particular grant? So how many students over
20	all?
21	MIA BARRY: Over the four-year period, we
22	are asked to provide some of this for 320 students in
23	Clark and 100 students in the north. So a total of
24	420 students.
25	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And then do we anticipate

1	being able to that's a lot. When you have 20
2	students per classrooms, are we going to have enough
3	classrooms to be able to would we like partition
4	off

5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're working on it. б We won't at Mater Academy. So far what I've done is 7 set up individual meetings. So I've contacted each 8 of our charter schools. And I've met with just about 9 every one of them about this particular grant, 10 especially those that we knew may or may not have 11 capacity. Patrick and I sat down at the beginning of 12 the grant, and we talked about what are some of the 13 basic requirements. So we look to those schools that 14 are already three star schools, schools that seem to 15 already serve that population based on the Title I 16 information that we have. And we went to those 17 schools first to talk about implementing this 18 particular grant.

We're not sure yet. As we have new schools come on, preschool is actually available as something that they can apply for in the grant application --I'm sorry, the charter school application process. And so at this point we have not had any of the new schools that are coming on to ask for preschool seats.

1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I don't know if this
2	is more for you or Director Gavin. Could we is
3	there a way if necessary to get creative around
4	either, A, if we have an existing school to say, you
5	know what, this classroom will solely be students who
6	are in the grant, and then we kind of have like a
7	funnel of kids so we can you know, we start a
8	pipeline of how we were diversifying our schools from
9	the inside, right, so if we have 20 to 40 kids that
10	are in that one classroom they can go into our
11	schools that are already existing, and they keep
12	moving up. Or is there a way for us to look at
13	and I don't even know if this is possible, just
14	dreaming here but thinking about other schools
15	that maybe are not under our authority, but we have
16	the authority of like a classroom, so if there's a
17	charter school that's under a different authority,
18	and say, You know what? We will allow for you to
19	have this classroom that we are the authority of.
20	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Great questions, Mr.
21	Chairman. If you recall so regarding your first
22	point, if you will recall that back in the fall when
23	we looked at criteria, we wanted to encourage
24	extending schools to look at, first (inaudible) being
25	pre-K that is the first indication that the

1 (inaudible) was absolutely one of those things. And 2 we have had some schools that included that as part 3 of their strategy or at least looking at it. 4 A couple things that I think have been 5 barriers to entry for schools. One is there is a statutory provision, both in state and federal law, 6 7 prohibiting charging tuition for kids in charter 8 schools, for obviously public schools. One of the 9 further provisions in both state and federal law is 10 that a school cannot give a preference `to a student who has paid tuition for a fee-based pre-K program. 11 12 But they can give preference, just like for anyone 13 else, to a student who has been in a subsidized state 14 that is a free pre-K program.

So for schools that have both paid and subsidized, if nothing else, it is a very distressing thing for parents to hear, Oh, X kid gets in, but you guys have to apply and you might not. And that has been something that some of our schools that want to diversify have struggled with.

I will note that there is draft language that the state superintendent may consider in an upcoming public hearing to align our regulations for admission with what other states have done to address this issue, primarily the state of Colorado, to

1	comply with both strictures of state and federal law
2	while also not be alienating parents. That is
3	essentially, you could do your kindergarten lottery
4	early. So regardless is the child is so you do it
5	when the kid is four, or three or three years and
6	11 months versus when they're five. So you're
7	essentially getting in early and the parents will
8	make the decision, I will do the pre-K pay if I'm not
9	subsidized. Or I'll do the free one. It doesn't
10	matter.
11	So it won't create really this sense of,
12	either on the part of the kids who are subsidized,
13	Oh, you're getting special treatment and the social
14	issues that come up with that, or the understandable
15	outrage of parents who may be just are more
16	affluent because they have slightly a better job than
17	they had a year or two before and be in a position
18	where now I have to pay for something, and I don't
19	get it. So that's being worked on.
20	The second question you asked is, can we
21	work with other charter schools, and we actually
22	have. The vast majority of schools that are in this
23	portfolio are actually not Authority sponsored. They
24	are Washoe sponsored. And that's really based on
25	where Washoe had seats in zip codes that they meet

1	the poverty criteria, and we would love to see more
2	of our schools open in those areas, but land is tough
3	to find, et cetera, and so that hasn't happened yet.
4	And I will note and I didn't mention this
5	actually in our pipeline of new applicants, at
6	least 40 percent of the people that have submitted
7	notices of intent are (inaudible). So that we may
8	well see more of this happening as we see this
9	potential perfusion of new seats up in Washoe. So
10	that's certainly something to consider. And then the
11	other thing
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: There's no concern about
13	being able to fill the 120, is there? Or is there?
14	MIA BARRY: Yes, there is concern. We have
15	gotten very creative, and we will also be creative in
16	the staff. So there is concern, but I have
17	implemented a program for a number of years. And so
18	that's what we do. We'll get it done.
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for the
20	overview. I know this is not easy, but it's so
21	important. Our little ones really need to be in
22	school as early as we can. Because the numbers do
23	show prove that we have a large population of
24	students who need to be in school early.
25	DIRECTOR GAVIN: I would note one other

1	point as just something for the board to collectively
2	reflect upon in a future session. We have this grant
3	via the State Department of Ed and the federal
4	government to implement a really robust performance
5	management system for pre-K with significant on-site
6	activity, with really thoughtful and well-designed
7	monitoring and with the ability to determine in
8	really rather short order whether things are working
9	or not.

10 And we are doing that for this last year for 11 80 kids -- essentially -- with one person who was 12 essentially expending 60 percent of her time funded 13 by this grant doing this work. We have 20,000 14 students in grades K through 12 for whom there are no 15 FTD's to do any kind of monitoring or performance 16 management related to this. And that is a structural 17 issue with how things are rolled out at multiple 18 That -- I would note it's something that you levels. 19 in your both individual capacities and in your 20 relationships you have with decision makers and in 21 the collective capacity of this board is something 22 that needs to be (inaudible). And knowing it's the 23 right thing, it isn't a bad thing that she is 24 spending her time on this, and we've got money to do 25 it.

Page 100

1	But it begs the question of why on earth as
2	an entity that is supposed to be K-12, our education,
3	we're not able to do the same thing for the children
4	and parents, for the kids we are actually
5	legislatively mandated to serve. And I'll just leave
6	it at that.
7	MEMBER MACKEDON: Member Mackedon. I would
8	just say that I think Mia has done a really good job
9	of being creative, and we've had multiple
10	conversations. And she's really good at helping the
11	schools brainstorm the roadblocks they hit and come
12	up with solutions for those that are agreeable, you
13	know, meet the requirements of the grant and meet the
14	needs of the school. So it is difficult work, and
15	the grant is difficult to fulfill everything that is
16	required. But she does a great job of being creative
17	and helping solve some of those problems. So heads
18	up.
19	MEMBER CONABOY: Mr. Chair?
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes.
21	MEMBER CONABOY: Member Conaboy. I don't
22	know if our guests are going to address some of the
23	other information that was in the materials in our
24	packet, but two things caught my attention. One is
25	the community of practice for the sub-grantees, and

	0
1	maybe Mia or one of our guests could talk about how
2	that's going to come together and sharing best
3	practices, because I think that's a good model for a
4	lot of things that we talked about at this board
5	level.
6	And then the other thing that caught my eye
7	is the data system, and it talks about an existing
8	early childhood database. And I'm wondering how that
9	then rolls over into Infinite Campus or, you know,
10	the student information system in the state and how
11	over the long-term we tend to monitor the
12	effectiveness of this early intervention.
13	MIA BARRY: Mia Barry for the record. The
14	community of practice will meet four times a year,
15	and I'm actually the person that will be involved in
16	that process. We will be meeting with all the other
17	sub-grantees to discuss all of the areas that we
18	listed here; teacher quality, child eligibility. We
19	will be particularly discussing the frameworks
20	centered around family engagement. I know that
21	that's what's on the agenda for the first community
22	in practice. So we will be looking at different
23	ideas for implementation, but not only that, but the
24	biggest question that I have asked of the office of
25	early learning is what are the indicators. So how
1	

1	are we going to actually measure that these are the
2	things that are going to work for families,
3	particularly families that come from a specific
4	population.
5	PATTY LAYA: Hi. For the record, Patty Laya
б	with the Department of Education to answer your
7	questions around the database. The early childhood
8	database was established with our state pre-K
9	program, which has been around ten years and
10	collected data across our state for pre-K programs,
11	which is a relatively small number of programs.
12	We've expanded the database to collect information
13	with our new pre-K development grant sites. And the
14	information they collected is expressive. One word,
15	PPDT, the WIDA tool, which is a dual language tool,
16	and then some parent engagement pieces as well.
17	We don't have an aligned infinite campus,
18	but that's one of the activities of the grant, is to
19	do a state longitudinal data system. And so around
20	that we're looking at because we're also including
21	childcare programs into our pre-K child development
22	grant site, we're looking at even the unique
23	identifiers similar to the K-12 system, so we are
24	working to align all of that. But right now, the
25	early childhood database is a separate database.

1	MEMBER CONABOY: Thank you. That's very
2	helpful.
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions or
4	discussions? Then I will entertain a motion to
5	delegate that goal.
6	MEMBER LUNA: Member Luna. I would like to
7	make a motion that we grandfather self-authority to
8	enter into an LOU with (inaudible) pre-K.
9	MEMBER ABELMAN: I second.
10	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All in favor.
11	ALL: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. It sounds
13	like the motion has passed. Thank you so much.
14	All right. We will move on to agenda item
15	number 7 to an update on the Leadership For Education
16	(inaudible). Director Gavin.
17	DIRECTOR GAVIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	So I believe Leadership For Education is a national
19	non profit organization, a 501C-4 that places Teach
20	for America Online it does a number of things, but
21	one thing it does is it places Teacher America Online
22	in positions where they are working with
23	policy-making organizations, including State
24	Department of Education, governors' offices. And
25	charter school authorizes to provide additional

Nevada State Public Charter School Authority	-	6/24/2016
Meeting		

1	support and technical assistance on key projects
2	related to important areas of educational policy.
3	We have a lead fellow named Ileetha Group
4	who will be coming up and joining us today, will
5	introduce herself. Ms. Groom is an alumna of Teach
6	for America. She is a current staff member of the
7	Clark County School District where she serves as a
8	coach. Since leaving TFA, she has earned her Ph.D.
9	in evaluation, and has been an active member of a
10	number of important educational policy task forces
11	and activities here in Southern Nevada, including but
12	not limited to the (inaudible) program, where she was
13	a key member of the support team. And as most of you
14	know, our chair is actually a staff member for TFA.
15	So he was instrumental in connecting us with the
16	folks at (inaudible) and selling them on why we are a
17	worthy recipient of their support. And we're really
18	delighted by the additional capacity that Ileetha is
19	bringing to the table for this summer.
20	ILEETHA GROOM: Hello. I am Ileetha Groom,
21	that's spelled Ileetha. This summer I will be
22	working with Joan Jergenson in the Las Vegas office,
23	and working on some client data to sort of compare
24	the charter school performance along with the zone

²⁵ school students who were originally intended to

	-
1	attend. And I'm looking forward to that. I was very
2	fortunate that I was able to be at the meeting last
3	night with some of the people that are planning to
4	get a charter school. I learned a great deal, and I
5	look forward to working with you this summer.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I can say I'm excited to
7	have you (inaudible) additional capacity. It's going
8	to go fantastic. So I'm excited for all of the work
9	you are going to be able to do.
10	ILEETHA GROOM: Thank you very much.
11	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We will move on to agenda
12	item number 8, public comment. I had only one here.
13	I think she (inaudible). She left? Is there any
14	public comment up in the north?
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, there is not.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. One thing
17	before we depart for the day, I wanted to take some
18	time for me to acknowledge the service that two of
19	our members three of our members have provided.
20	Two may be moving on, Member Wahl and Member Abelman.
21	One who already has moved on, Member McCord. But
22	their commitment to our communities, to our families,
23	to our students. It's commendable, and we appreciate
24	all that you've done to sacrifice and to help make
25	very difficult decisions and do very hard work for
1	

1	the better good of thousands of students across the
2	State of Nevada. We appreciate you, and I want to
3	thank you for all your hard work.
4	So are there any other members who would
5	like to express their gratitude. Director Gavin,
6	would you like to as well? This is the time to do
7	it.
8	DIRECTOR GAVIN: I would like to extend my
9	personal thanks and graduate to both Marc and Elissa
10	for their tireless work in what can at times be a
11	very challenging and thankless role, and their
12	consistent and full-throated advocacy for highly
13	effective schools and for your (inaudible) and for
14	exemplary outcomes.
15	Marc came to us having been a board member
16	out of school. Ellisa came to us after having been a
17	long-time advocate for choice options for kids and
18	families, and we have been immensely enriched by the
19	work you have done here, and I am deeply grateful for
20	your personal support and your friendship. And I
21	sincerely hope that neither of them will go away.
22	Whether it is bringing your perspective to public
23	comments or serving on the governing body of a school
24	or advocating for the many necessary changes we know
25	need to be made to improve public education across

1	the state and the effectiveness of us as an agency
2	and the portfolio that you continue to stay a
3	welcoming part of this board. Thank you so much.
4	MEMBER MACKEDON: This is Member Mackedon.
5	I just wanted to say "hear hear" to both what Adam
6	and Patrick said.
7	MEMBER CONABOY: And this is Member Conaboy,
8	I would like to thank Marc and Elissa and Joe McCord.
9	I feel like we've seen a community of learning since
10	the first meeting of this board, and we're all
11	original members. And we've learned a lot together.
12	I think sharing the expertise that Elissa and Marc
13	and Bob had was very vital to the standing up of this
14	organization, and I am very, very grateful to have
15	met you and worked with you and learned from you.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Member Wahl, I know you
17	are on the phone.
18	MEMBER WAHL: Member Wahl. From my
19	perspective, I am so glad I was part of this. And
20	I'm not going anywhere except for on the other side
21	of the table. I know you'll be seeing me a lot in
22	public comments, and I hope to (inaudible) other
23	schools and additional options at the government
24	level during the legislature. So I'll still be
25	around carrying the torch.

1	MEMBER ABELMAN: I am so honored to be part
2	of this community, and I am inspired by each and
3	every one of you, and it will never stop. It really
4	is an amazing experience. And so I echo some of
5	Melissa's thoughts, and I'll be around as well.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON All right. Thank you all.
7	Mostly thank you for a very expedient meeting today.
8	I hope you enjoy some summer, and I will say the
9	meeting is adjourned at 11:46 a.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

wreeting
1 (Thereupon the proceedings were
2 concluded at 11:46 a.m.)
3 * * * * *
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	SS:
4	COUNTY OF CLARK.)
5	I, Jane V. Efaw, certified shorthand
6	reporter, do hereby certify that I took down in
7	shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in
8	the before-entitled matter at the time and place
9	indicated; and that thereafter said shorthand notes
10	were transcribed into typewriting at and under my
11	direction and supervision and the foregoing
12	transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate
13	record of the proceedings had.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed
15	my hand this day of, 2016.
16	
17	
18	
19	Jane V. Efaw, CCR #601
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	